


INTERNATIONAL DISTRIBUTION

WARNING
IMPRISONMENT IN AUSTRALIA
WITH **NO TRIAL**

1996 – 2016
20 YEARS
CORRUPTION, DECEPTION, LIES

OFFICIAL MASS MURDER
PORT ARTHUR, TASMANIA
– APRIL 1996 –



FALSELY IMPRISONED – MARTIN BRYANT – TASMANIA, AUSTRALIA

– 28 APR 16 –

Keith Allan Noble; Unit 72B, Am Heumarkt 7, 1030 Vienna, Austria; murder.research@gmail.com

**THE MONSTROUSNESS of this crime
is precisely what prevents many people
from rationally considering the evidence,
for even to do so one risks
being judged as excusing the crime.**

**The evidence directly implicating
Martin Bryant is nonexistent, so, instead
the case against Bryant (which was never
formally put because there was no trial)
largely centres on supposed facts.**

JAMES SINNAMON
candobetter.com
11 APR 2010

– NO COPYRIGHT –
distribute, email, link, website freely



PRAYER
Carleen Bryant – 1997

[T]o offer my condolence and sorrow to all of those affected on 28 April 1996, I placed a prayer in The Mercury newspaper in Hobart.

**TO all of humanity affected by the agony
resulting from the horror inflicted on innocence
at Port Arthur,
one deeply traumatic year ago.**

**Of all people, I weep with you –
with your bitter tears, with our suffering tears
and with unique, unsharable tears of my own.**

With you, I am worn out with grief.

But we can try to live above it.

**Let us encourage one another by showing love.
God is like that.**

He gives us the love we want to share.

Hate can be overcome with love.

**As we have compassion and express it
in practical ways, we will feel ourselves being healed.**

**To overcome our tragedy, our best chance is
to look forward with hope and overcome evil
with the goodness which comes to us from God.**

**Seeking healing with you.
With all my compassion and love.**

From that day to this, my prayer is always the same.

THIS PRAYER and prose are from the heart, a mother's loving heart. Mrs. Bryant has not covered over failings nor has she dissembled as so many officials in Australia have done in relation to the case involving her son Martin. She does, however, raise questions which have never been and which should have been answered long ago. Her concerns are highly justified. They will make thinking readers further realize the absence of not only compassion, but of justice in a case in which her mentally-handicapped son became ensnared and in which so many people were made to suffer. Much is so terribly wrong. As a mother, a woman, and a decent human being, Carleen Bryant conveys this clearly in her poignant book titled, **MY STORY**. ■

1996-2016
20 YEARS CORRUPTION, DECEPTION, LIES
OFFICIAL MASS MURDER, PORT ARTHUR, TASMANIA



INACCURATE COMMEMORATIVE PLAQUE

THE ABOVE INACCURATE PLAQUE is fixed to a plinth at the Port Arthur Historic Site near where the Broad Arrow Café operated in 1996. There are only 34 names on the plaque – not 35, the official number of people killed at the massacre. This plaque has been there since April 2000. Note the name **Raymond Sharp**. He was shot in the café. But strangely, his name does not appear on the plaque. However, the name Raymond Sharp does appear on the list of names attached to the original wooden cross. (see left) It is said that the family of Raymond Sharp wanted his name kept off the new plaque dated April 2000. If true, the reason for this strange request has not been made public. No body-disposal record for Raymond Sharp (age 67), who was from Kilmore VIC, has been located. His brother **Kevin Sharp** (age 69) also died in the café. His name appears on the original wooden cross and on the April 2000 plaque. He too was from Kilmore, but no body-disposal record has been found. If you have information related to the Sharps, the plaques, and/or the disposal of the bodies please email me: murder.research@gmail.com ■

Keith Allan Noble

(POSTSCRIPT: At the Kilmore Golf Club a memorial plaque bears the names of three victims of Port Arthur: Walter John Bennett; Kevin Vincent Sharp; and, Raymond John Sharp.)



PROLOGUE

PEOPLE OF AUSTRALIA, you have been lied to and deceived for the last 20 years by mongrels. Officials of all types and in numerous places have bulled and bullied the nation, corrupted the national political process, perverted the legal system in Tasmania, denied the truth, and prevented justice from being served. In Tasmania in April 1996, people were murdered (35) and wounded (23) at Port Arthur. Though not the worst massacre in the history of Australia (often falsely stated), it was a horrific crime that sent shockwaves the length and breadth of the land.

Hate and vengeance came to the fore rapidly, force fed by mainstream media which broadcast unproved words and manipulated images. A mentally-handicapped *boy-man* was blamed for the entire incident, even though it was impossible for him to have undertaken all the planning, the provision of all the equipment*, and the perpetration of all the crimes at seven locations. False accusations based on supposed facts were made by officials before a thorough investigation was undertaken. In fact, no such investigation has ever been undertaken. (* Includes: a 22-body refrigerated mortuary truck; special embalming equipment "manufactured ready for the incident" by Nelson Brothers funeral directors, Victoria – confirmed by TAS-LIB senator Stephen Parry; allegedly dozens of weapons and thousands of rounds of ammunition; etc.)

Instead of putting every official resource into determining exactly how the incident occurred, the State went out of its way to ensure there was no assessment and public release of all associated facts. There was NO trial, NO coronial inquest, NO public enquiry, NO royal commission, etc. Legally required processes were not permitted. Assumptions and opinions were allowed to suppress searches for hard evidence. The unthinking biased public was too stunned to care. Every relevant law and legal precept within the Tasmanian legal system was abandoned, and mongrel members ignored the setting up and sacrifice of an innocent. Christianity went cold.

But the truth is still there. No amount of dismissing will ever make it disappear. And as the philosopher Nietzsche warned, *all truths kept silent become poisonous*. The symptoms of this poison are now beginning to be exhibited by those who want the public to continue believing the worst injustice in modern-day Australia is the work of a solitary person, when documented facts scream the contrary. The symptoms of this lethal poison are seen when mongrels keep insisting the official narrative is the Gospel truth when it is clearly nothing but corruption, deception, and lies. Those who brewed the poison will eventually taste it on their own lips as they lie trying to salvage a false story based on suppositions. More and more thinking Australians realize that a cover-up has denied the truth – and thus justice. People are now speaking out.

One difficult thing to do in life is to unlearn what we have learnt. People become attached to what they believe is right. What challenges those beliefs is quickly ridiculed even when those beliefs are patently wrong. The official killing and wounding at and near Port Arthur in April 1996 and what came after are such beliefs. Unthinking members of the public have been gulled into a mind-set. The result is they are unable to reflect on all the hard evidence disproving the corrupt official narrative. People with fixed mind-set beliefs readily ignore mistaken subjective witnesses, inaccurate and incomplete evidence, false convictions, wrongful imprisonment, etc.

The only thing that can help cure the pain and right the injustice of Port Arthur is the bright light of truth. And before his murder is officially finalized, Martin Bryant should be released immediately from Risdon Prison on humanitarian grounds – and, because **he is INNOCENT!** ■

1996-2016
20 YEARS CORRUPTION, DECEPTION, LIES
OFFICIAL MASS MURDER, PORT ARTHUR, TASMANIA



20 MONGRELS

	page
1 ASIO	8
2 ATTORNEYS-GENERAL, The	9
3 AVERY, John	10
4 BALASKO, James	12
5 BUGG, Damian	13
6 COX, William	14
7 DUTTON, Gerard	16
8 DYSON, Michael (<i>Mick/Rick</i>)	17
9 HOWARD, John	18
10 LAWYERS, The	21
11 MAINSTREAM MEDIA, The	22
12 MATTERSON, Ian	23
13 NOBLE, Justin	25
14 OVERBEEKE, Hans	26
15 PARRY, Stephen	28
16 POLICE, The	31
17 PSYCHIATRISTS, The	32
18 SARRE, Rick	36
19 WEISBROT, David	37
20 WILLESEE, Mike	38

Some internet images herein were created after 1996,
and not all stated places of employment are current.

OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE confirms the massacre (April 1996) at Port Arthur in Tasmania and subsequent related events – collectively the incident – were premeditated, planned, and professionally perpetrated. They were not conducted by the mentally-incompetent (IQ of 66; lowest 2% of pop.) Martin Bryant. Those listed above have supported – directly or indirectly – the official and unproved position that Martin Bryant was solely responsible for each and every component of the incident, in and out of Tasmania. These mongrels have not acknowledged the extensive exculpatory evidence and have also promoted corruption, deception, and lies. (The word mongrel is vernacular Australian English meaning a despicable person/party.) ■



THE INSERTS

	page
<i>INACCURATE COMMEMORATIVE PLAQUE</i>	4
<i>MARTIN BRYANT WAS NOT THERE</i>	11
<i>SCREAMING NAKED BLACK-HAIRED WOMAN AT SEASCAPE</i>	15
<i>MARTIN BRYANT DID NOT DO IT</i>	19
<i>MARTIN BRYANT NOT LINKED TO SHOOTING</i>	24
<i>SENATE OF AUSTRALIA – MASSACRE – STEPHEN SHANE PARRY</i>	27
<i>PASSENGER VEHICLES NOT CREDIBLY EXPLAINED</i>	29
<i>MARTIN BRYANT NOT GUILTY BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT</i>	33
<i>MARTIN BRYANT IS INNOCENT RELATED EMAILS</i>	39
<i>INACCURATE WORDS</i>	47

For reasons of layout some original headings –
see book MASS MURDER – have been changed.



ASIO
Australian Security Intelligence Organisation

A "Since its inception in 1949, ASIO has distinguished itself by not uncovering a single spy or traitor.... ASIO's speciality was, and is, the pursuit of paranoia."

B "Numerous official inquiries and media reports have shown that highly secretive bodies will abuse their powers in the absence of strong checks and balances. Undeterred, the Coalition and Labor parties have backed a new law [in 2014] that imposes 5-10 year jail sentences on anyone who reveals anything about what ASIO designates a Special Intelligence Operation. This prohibition covers exposing murder, endemic incompetence or dangerous bungling. The loosely worded law covers ASIO officials, agents, and *affiliates*. The latter could include other Australian and overseas intelligence agencies, police forces and special military [death?] squads."

C "What did we have at Port Arthur [in APR 1996]? We had the Tasmania Police, the Tasmania Police Special Operations Group [SOG; *Sons of God*], the Victoria Police Special Operations Group (six members), the NSW Police Special Operations Group, the Australian Federal Police Anti-Terror Squad, ASIO, PSCC, the Australian defence forces helicopter pilots and the SAS. It was a bloody big exercise and much of that had to have been organised prior to the event."

D "Anyone not familiar with ASIO has to be shocked by the words of Brian Toohey who bluntly and clearly reveals some of the facts related to ASIO and how it now operates without any public oversight or control. The Australian people pay for all this. But as for oversight and control they have none – and they never will have any..... ASIO has been around in one evil form or another since 1949. Of course the public is expected to be grateful knowing ASIO *assets* are out there in the dark with their smart-arse phones and expense accounts keeping the land free of unlicensed exorcists, off-key ventriloquists, and now terrorists – *Moslems of course*. But ASIO has been involved with more than just surveillance work. There is evidence that this organisation has itself been actively involved in acts of terror. These words are from en.wikipedia.org (Talk: *Sydney Hilton Hotel Bombing*): 'Then NSW attorney-general Frank Walker told the press in 1980 that he had been told by a disaffected CSIRO scientist that ASIO had asked CSIRO to build the Hilton bomb. Mr George Peterson MP also said that he had met a scientist said to be involved with the manufacture of the bomb'" (original italics) ■



DAVID SADLEIR
Director General, Security
ASIO; APR 1992 - OCT 1996



DENNIS RICHARDSON
Director General, Security
ASIO; OCT 1996 - MAY 2005

THE ATTORNEYS-GENERAL *Australia & Tasmania*

A “It’s time to hold accountable all those people who took part in this false-flag terrorist attack, upon Australian citizens and Australian shores. The issue is not the government passing gun-law control. The issue is the police, ASIO, federal attorney general’s office, with the blessing of government and later a cover-up by government, **carried out a terrorist attack against their own people.**” (added emphasis)

B From 1996 to 2016 there have been 13 attorneys-general in Tasmania. Not one of them has revealed the whole truth related to the official massacre at Port Arthur in 1996. As recently as March 2016, attorney general Vanessa Goodwin (TAS-LIB) has failed to provide a written acknowledgement of a change.org petition* bearing thousands of signatures which was hand-delivered to her office. (* *Martin Bryant Deserves a Coronial Inquest and for All Our Sakes!!!*)

C “What we have here is an admission that the investigating police at the Broad Arrow Café on the 30th April 1996, two days after the massacre, were alerted to the fact that the door lock was not functioning, and that the door was not locked but that the lock was inoperable. This completely negates every comment made that this particular door had been locked for security reasons against petty theft, be they made by the DPP, the coroner (Ian Matterson), or the Tasmanian attorney general (Ray Groom).” (It seems this door was actually nailed shut BEFORE the shooting, which prevented several people inside the café escaping death.)

D “Why did Tasmanian Premier Ray Groom [TAS-LIB] in an unprecedented move resign on 18th March 1996 as Premier...and take over all Tasmanian portfolios that would have anything to do with a massacre at Port Arthur - Minister for Justice, Attorney General, Tourism (including the Port Arthur Historic Site), and Workplace Safety? Tony Rundle an ex-journalist takes his place as Premier. Why did the Tasmanian Mortuary Service have a special Chevy Mortuary Truck capable of carrying 22 bodies made shortly before Port Arthur?”

E “**November 1995** Roland Browne, spokesman for the Tasmanian branch of the Coalition for Gun Control, warned all Australians that if Tasmania didn’t enact tougher firearms laws then there would be a massacre in Tasmania of massive proportions. Ray Groom as Attorney General removed any claim by any worker or volunteer for compensation to overcome PTSD (Post Traumatic Stress Disorder) from the Workers Compensation Act, the main injury resulting from the Port Arthur Massacre. Several of the victims have suicided since.” ■



VANESSA GOODWIN
2016 – continues official
corruption, deception, lies

3

JOHN AVERY
former defence (sic) lawyer, Tasmania

A “Avery realised he could use Martin Bryant’s sensitivity [very low IQ of 66] to [get him to] plead guilty.” (see WILLESEE)

B “Martin Bryant was forced to plead guilty, and that was the ploy to remove the trial. All we got was a ‘Sentencing Hearing,’ which was then conveyed to the people as a trial. And John Avery was part of that deception!!”

C “The former Moonah-based lawyer [John Avery] stole more than \$500,000 from clients and his former practice over more than five years to pay for his lavish lifestyle and love of art, until he was busted by an employee in 2006.”

D “It took lawyer John Avery 14 visits to Martin Bryant to convince [coerce] him to change his plea to guilty from not guilty. Bryant, a mentally disabled person, was by law supposed to plead NOT guilty to criminal charges. This didn’t matter to the corrupt Tasmanian government.”

E “The Tasmanian Bar is an independent referral bar, made up of practitioners who are entitled and have elected to practice solely as barristers. Mr Avery was never a person who made that election and **thankfully was not a member of the Tasmanian Bar.**” (added emphasis)

F “Read again the words in the newspaper article [The Mercury; 22 NOV 1996], see what Avery said; then imagine someone with an IQ of 66 and a psychological age of 11 (and who was in solitary confinement for more than 6 months) sitting there listening to the soothing words of *his* smooth-talking lawyer. Bryant never admitted that he did it, he just did what his lawyer wanted.”

G “And it was John Avery who pressured this *boy* to change his plea because Avery was, as he said, sensitive to the needs of the community. To get Martin to change his plea in 1996, this *11-year-old boy* was illegally kept in solitary confinement [for over six months] and he was denied a personal guardian (required by law as per a 1994 court decision based on his mental incapacity) and an ethical lawyer. Not only did Avery let all this happen, he ensured it happened by not defending his client. Martin was told it was for his own good that he plead guilty.” ■



JOHN AVERY (disbarred)
third appointed lawyer who
misrepresented Martin Bryant

MARTIN BRYANT WAS NOT THERE

NOBODY witnessed Martin Bryant at the Port Arthur Historic Site or its immediate environs on Sunday, 28th of April 1996. People at that location at the time say they saw Martin Bryant. And officials say he was there. But **there is NO hard evidence Martin Bryant was inside the Broad Arrow Café, or at the parking lot, or on the road leading to the tollbooth, or at the tollbooth, or at the Port Arthur general store, or along the highway.**

Saying Martin Bryant was there because people saw him there is nonsense. Some people who were there – certainly not all of them – saw a person discharging a firearm. But nobody who was at the site or anywhere between there and Seascapes Cottage saw Martin Bryant. There was only one witness who personally knew Martin Bryant and who saw the shooter/gunman. This was James/Jim Laycock. This is what he wrote about the shooter/gunman in his statement of 10 MAY 1996: “I did not recognize the male as Martin Bryant.” Everyone else who was there and nearby on that terrible day had never met Bryant. They did not know him. And all were extremely sensitive to the sinister influence of officials and the media, which within hours was cruelly broadcasting Martin Bryant’s name and image – later, a manipulated image which made him look demonic – around Australia which biased the nation against Martin.

Site employee Aileen Kingston said this in her statement of 17 JUN 1996: “...on the afternoon of Sunday the 28th April 1996 I was shown a passport by Detective Peter Hesman which contained a photograph of BRYANT as being the male who paid his entry fee at the tollbooth to me at around 1.10pm to 1.15pm.” (sic) So that very afternoon of the shooting, at least one official was out **spreading an unproved allegation that Martin Bryant was guilty.** Hesman contaminated the mind of Kingston (she probably told others) because officials needed a statement from her to bolster the official narrative that Bryant entered the site via the tollbooth. But at the time specified, statements confirm Martin Bryant was elsewhere. (It seems the passport was illegally removed by Tasmania Police from Bryant’s home, not from a yellow Volvo at the tollbooth as officials claimed – again without any evidence.)

Some people who were at the site that afternoon claimed they saw Martin Bryant. But many witnesses were over 100 metres away at the old penitentiary for example – a distance at which **facial features cannot be distinguished.** The most significant witness who was there inside the café was Graham Derek Collyer. He looked into the face of the gunman before being shot and badly wounded. The description Collyer gives in his statement of 7 MAY 1996 for the gunman does not correspond with a description of Martin Bryant. When Collyer was in hospital he qualified his sighting with this: “I still haven’t seen anything in the media about the person who shot me.” **The unbiased words of Collyer who was undeniably right there in the Broad Arrow Café face-to-face with the gunman confirm it was not Martin Bryant.** ■

4

JAMES BALASKO ***US tourist(?), Port Arthur Historic Site, Tasmania***

A “The video...can only have been that allegedly made by American tourist James Balasko, which is a fake.... [T]he actual circumstances in which the video came to light are highly suspicious and militate strongly against its authenticity. The official story is that Tasmania Police only became aware of the video’s existence after a follow-up interview with Balasko on 1 August 1996, two weeks before its investigation concluded. To be sure, Balasko did not mention having filmed the gunman in the police witness statement he gave on the day following the massacre. The best explanation for Balasko’s failure to mention the video on that occasion is, quite simply, that he hadn’t made one.”

Such facially unidentifiable images from the corrupt Balasko video were used to wrongly convict innocent Martin Bryant.



FACIAL FEATURES COMPLETELY UNIDENTIFIABLE



B “Balasko never mentioned that he made a video at Port Arthur. If he had videoed what he saw, it is reasonable to believe he would have told the cops there and then. But immediately after the shooting at and near the Broad Arrow Café, Balasko never said one word about having recorded a video. But on 1 August 1996 – 95 days after the PAHS [Port Arthur Historic Site] incident – this American witness James Balasko with his partner Cynthia Zahorak turned up at a New Jersey police station and there gave a nine-page statement related to a video of the incident. A video that Balasko alleges he made over three months previously at Port Arthur in Tasmania on 28 April 1996. His video has no credibility.... It has been proved to be deceptively corrupt, but this did not stop the State from using it to set up Martin Bryant.” ■



JAMES BALASKO
gave concocted evidence three months after Port Arthur massacre

5

DAMIAN BUGG
Director of Public Prosecutions, Tasmania

A “[Bugg] spoke to me for over two hours. I finally said to him, I was there, you weren’t. Don’t tell me what I saw and what I didn’t see. I was not prepared to tell lies for him.”

B “Tasmanian DPP [Director of Public Prosecutions] Damian Bugg lied to, misled, and withheld evidence from the court about the incident which would give Martin Bryant an alibi.”

C “In fact, Bugg has done well out of Port Arthur. On 19 October 1996, The Mercury newspaper revealed that during the year Bugg’s income had risen from a regular annual salary of A\$107,638 to c.A\$221,836, including the value of a private-plated car. Soon afterwards, Bugg was promoted to Federal Director of Public Prosecutions.”

D “Martin Bryant was questioned on 4 July 1996. For over five weeks (39 days) prior to that date, he was kept isolated – a mental *softening-up* process which was essential to the State. It could not accept a plea of innocent because that would have necessitated a trial. But the State could not prove Martin Bryant was guilty. Any low-level lawyer would have demolished the whole concocted case submitted by Bugg & Co. of the State.”

E “What did happen though was that several survivors of the Port Arthur massacre attended the sentencing of Martin Bryant. There, they listened to the director of public prosecutions Damian Bugg as he made his statement of facts to the presiding judge William Cox. At the end of Mr Bugg’s statement of facts, many of them approached the DPP and criticised his statement as being either incorrect or not factual. Mr Bugg dismissed the criticisms as being irrelevant to the objective of ensuring that Martin Bryant was properly incarcerated.”

F “Why did Martin’s so-called defence lawyer John Avery never mention the [6 p.m.] sighting of this naked black-haired woman at Seascape? Her being seen and reported, in writing, by cops up-ends the official narrative – which no doubt is the reason why the devious Bugg did not mention it in his document to Cox. The sighting of a naked black-haired woman is exculpatory evidence for Bryant. If she was Sally Martin, it proves Bryant did not kill her before midday as Bryant was convicted of doing. If it was not Sally Martin, it means at least one other person was at Seascape. And, it means things went on at that cottage which the cops and Bugg have kept secret so naive people will keep believing the corrupt official narrative.” ■



DAMIAN BUGG
*suppressed the truth;
mongrel of the worst type*


6

WILLIAM COX ***mongrel swine judge, Tasmania***

A “Martin Bryant was NOT tried in a court anywhere in Tasmania, or in Australia. There was **no trial**. This transcript referred to is a disgraceful record of the sham hearing which took place at Hobart on 19 November 1996. During that outrageous process the so-called judge (William Cox) accepted every corrupt syllable from the prosecutor (Damian Bugg), and ignored the fact that the alleged defender (John Avery) relentlessly pressured Bryant to change his original plea of **innocent** to guilty. It was/is all a complete sham.” (added emphasis)

B “[I]n all of Australia, the *rott of the court* par excellence is the Port Arthur case. To bring about this rort, the corrupt criminal lawyer John Avery coerced his client, who Avery was supposed to defend, into accepting Avery’s guilty plea. Then, a corrupt prosecutor by the name of Damian Bugg prepared documents which contain demonstrably false assertions, assertions which were not assessed by a trial jury and in which all doubt went to the State – not, as it should, to the accused person. This mentally-handicapped person, Martin Bryant, was declared guilty before it was proved at a trial, and the State ensured there never was a trial. Then, a supreme court judge by the name of William Cox went along with the whole corrupt and perverted matter, sentencing a helpless 66-IQ *boy-man* to be caged for the remainder of his life.”

C “[T]he nation was stood on its head. Only a few people had concerns and expressed them. Justice was denied a *boy-man* who had the abilities of a grade-six pupil. It was denied without hesitation or reservation.... [S]ickening Justice (*sic*) William Cox took out his verbal lances and, like the centurion did to Jesus, he drove one right into Martin’s side, calling him a ‘social misfit.’ Cox thought that was a good thing to say, as he considered Martin was a mentally-handicapped idiot who could be hated and humiliated with impunity. Well Cox, your words tell us what you are – despicable scum.... And this is what this killer Cox said: ‘MARTIN BRYANT – on each of the thirty-five counts of murder in this indictment you are sentenced to imprisonment for the term of your natural life. I order that you not be eligible for parole in respect of any such sentence.’ It is a death sentence. Death by slow relentless torture. As Cox knows. But you are supposed to have respect for this honourable gentleman who has half an alphabet hanging from his rear. All titled up complaisant to the max, a man whose conscience abhors facts.” ■



WILLIAM COX
perverted the course of justice;
mongrel swine of the worst type

SCREAMING NAKED BLACK-HAIRED WOMAN AT SEASCAPE

IF YOU BELIEVE the official narrative of the Port Arthur incident, you must believe Martin Bryant drove the BMW down the driveway to Seascape where he parked it. He then alighted and opened the trunk in which Glenn Pears had been transported from the local store. Then, being very careful with Pears who would have been in a desperate mental condition, Bryant urged Pears inside the cottage. Bryant would have had to open the cottage door to get Pears inside, but the keys of the cottage were allegedly found inside a yellow Volvo at the tollbooth. How Bryant opened the door is not known. You are not supposed to ask as it will spoil the narrative.

SALLY MARTIN
Seascape Owner-Occupier
(note her grey not black hair)



Glenn Pears was restrained – with those two pairs of Smith & Wesson handcuffs which have never been seen since; don't ask – and the two owner-occupiers, David & Sally Martin, were dead having been murdered before midday according to the official narrative. (There is no proof Bryant killed them, but it's in the narrative so you have to go with it.) It was then about 2:30 in the afternoon. Bryant had become the *lone-nut* gunman at Seascape. And with Pears looking on, and the Martins dead somewhere there inside, he started shooting wildly from the windows – except for when he was on the phone with the negotiator Terry McCarthy. They spoke for hours it seems. Don't ask why the highly trained and equipped SOG did not storm the house during one of those calls. That's not in the narrative.

And in addition to those 57 (sic) phone conversations, and toilet breaks, and preparing snacks for himself presumably and Glenn Pears, it was full on just wild, insane, unrestrained, *lone-nut* shooting at its very best out the windows into the trees any which way you damn please. Inexplicably, the shooting at the café was lethal but totally inaccurate here. (Why? Well all the people at Seascape Cottage were cops.)

Yet the all-mighty SOG was powerless and did nothing – because it had to happen. (ssssh, that's not in the official narrative). Then late Sunday afternoon around 6p.m., constable Pat Allen said he heard: "the sound of a high pitched yelling and screaming coming from the direction of Seascape." The cop Gary Whittle said he: "saw a female running around the back yard naked. Yelling and screaming." And the cop Paul Hyland said she: "appeared to have black hair and appeared to be naked."

Officially, grey-haired Sally Martin died before midday. So who was this woman who ruined the official narrative? Where did she come from? Why was she there running naked and screaming? This editor does not know what you believe. But if it is the official narrative, you have to deny these documented sightings of this distressed woman. You must tell yourself that she did not exist. You must tell yourself those three cops made up the whole story. You have to keep telling yourself that Bryant was alone and he was responsible for everything – that's the nonsensical narrative. ■

GERARD DUTTON
Tasmania Police

A “Dutton fails to inform us whether the Daewoo [shotgun] was forensically examined to prove whether or not the firearm had recently been discharged.”

B “The DPP and Tasmanian Police ballistics section’s forensic firearm examiner sergeant Gerard Dutton does **not** correctly identify the Colt firearm he is referring to in the court documents, or indeed in the Australian Police Journal article, or the police *training video*.” (added emphasis)

C “The lack of evidence for the identification of Martin Bryant as the Port Arthur shooter is a matter that should concern all Australians today.... At a meeting of the Australian and New Zealand Forensic Science Society held at Griffith University in Queensland in 2002, Ian McNiven raised the subject of the lack of forensic evidence incriminating Martin Bryant. The presenter, who was apparently Sergeant Gerard Dutton, of the Ballistics Section of Tasmania Police, grew angry and had university security threaten McNiven and effectively evict him from the meeting. McNiven was not wrong to raise the question of the lack of hard evidence against Bryant.”

D “Treating ambulance personnel were quite convinced for some time that Bryant had used a shotgun in the Broad Arrow Café due to the significant number of *peppering* they noted. This later turned out to be bony fragments from other victims.’ [Gerard Dutton. *Statutory Declaration*; 9 SEP 1996: p. 11] ANALYSIS This view held by at least five qualified and experienced ambulance staff focused upon the wounds of an American *tourist* Dennis Olsen who had gunshot pellet wounds to the face, neck, upper torso and arms. Now with this in mind, let us consider what the director of surgery, **Dr. Stephen Wilkinson**, stated to the media, specifically the *Today* show at approximately 7:25 a.m. on the 29th April 1996: ‘Well I know a number of people were shot in the arms and legs and know there were some gunshot wounds to the head, and **just about any part of the body you wish to name, we found some pellets.**’ Gerard Dutton has always maintained that the Daewoo shotgun was never used by the gunman in the Broad Arrow Café despite many witnesses stating that a shotgun had been used inside the café, and that people had received wounds created by shotgun pellets.” (added emphasis) ■



GERARD DUTTON
*also known as Mr. Bullistic;
eyes have not been manipulated*

MICHAEL (*Mick/Rick*) DYSON
Tasmania Police

A “Now look at the time that Michael Charles Dyson, be he a sergeant or an acting inspector adopted this statement. This statement was adopted by Dyson on 12 September 1996, 4½ months [139 days] after the incident. Now this is not only extremely sloppy, but it is the first sign that this statement is a fabrication. In any normal court procedure, **this statement by Dyson would be thrown out as completely unreliable.**” (added emphasis)

B “The differences in each of these three *searches* is remarkable and defies logic in every way except for the conclusion that most of the *evidence* found at 30 Clare Street* had to have been planted there by police, and **we have Dyson's admission that it was he who planted much of that evidence.** It is thus worthwhile for the reader to compare the statements of sergeant Michael Charles Dyson with the relevant portion of the *Statutory Declaration* made by sergeant Gerard Dutton. The anomalies will astound you.” (added emphasis) * Martin Bryant's home in Hobart which was, like all his financial assets, stolen from him by the State.

C “One of those employees of the State whom we are to believe is a meticulous teller of truths is **Michael Charles Dyson**. We are to believe he knows nothing about the Port Arthur incident beyond what he learnt as a 'liaison officer' during the incident. Sounds good, but.... *Mick* has a reputation.... It seems Dyson likes violence.... This is how Dyson describes his real interest: 'I was being given an opportunity to go to the more strategic level and become involved in the overall command of **violent incidents** which is my passion.' So we have a man who not only likes being involved with violent incidents, but one who tells the world he has a passion to be involved with death and destruction.” (added emphasis)

D “In regard to the Port Arthur Massacre, the most violent incident ever to occur within Australia, let alone Tasmania, the first and only time that the Plans for an 'Anti-Terrorist' situation were implemented, Sergeant Michael Dyson, the former SOG Assault Team Leader, the only SOG member with any siege experience, was not available to assist the SOGs in their part of the exercise, and the required drills that had been planned by Dyson.... We are aware that Martin Bryant had a mate with him in Seaside Cottage, a mate called *Rick*.... We are also aware that whoever was with Martin, that person was well aware of the various tactics and drills performed by the Tasmania Police SOGs, and had similar equipment to the SOGs such as night viewing equipment, and laser sights. This equipment was not discovered in the charred ruins of Seaside Cottage, and so presumably must have left with *Rick*.” ■



MICHAEL DYSON
“...command of violent
incidents which is my passion”

JOHN HOWARD
Prime Minister (NSW-LIB 1996-2007), Australia

A “Why did the newly elected Howard stand normality on its head following the Port Arthur shootings?”

B “[Damian] Bugg is the man John Howard’s Government imported to Canberra from Hobart in 1999 to become Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP).... John Howard’s Government appointed Bugg after his successful prosecution of Martin Bryant for the Port Arthur massacre.” (This appointment has been identified as *payola* for Bugg.)


C “In direct violation of the Australian constitution, the Prime Minister John Howard suggested that a Coronial Inquest was not required, and called for the immediate demolition of the Broad Arrow Café. Although the survivors clamoured for more information, Howard used the pretext that more information would be too painful for them to bear.”

D “In criminal cases in Australia, the standard is proof of guilt ‘beyond reasonable doubt.’ This means that any doubts must be fully investigated in order to determine whether or not they are reasonable. A doubt cannot be regarded as unreasonable because someone [like John Howard] has determined that it would be inconvenient to explore that possibility.”

E “God bless you Wendy Scurr. Like the experience of the many that have put much more than me into exposing the whole evil affair, I say to people, ‘I’ve seen police witness statements which prove, conclusively, that Martin is innocent and other witness statements that prove police and other complicity.’ But the Howard/Murdoch cartel brainwash is too deeply embedded.”

F “His condition is described as an example of a living death sentence. His name is Martin Bryant. Doubts persist. It’s partly the improbability of the official narrative of the atrocity, partly the unseemly lack of due process. At the behest of newly-elected prime minister John Howard, no coronial inquest was ever held into the 1996 Port Arthur Massacre. There was **no inquest**. Nor was the evidence against Bryant ever tested in court.” (added emphasis)

G “Anyone with half a working brain cell knows that Bryant could never have carried out this atrocity.... The fact that there was NEVER any investigation or trial of this event...is a blatant travesty and indictment of our country’s justice system. The number of unanswered questions from Port Arthur are just astounding.... John Howard’s call for no trial to save further grief to relatives was a disgraceful abuse of the process....” (original capitals) ■



JOHN HOWARD
*flak-jacketed jackass
talking crap to Australians*

JOHN HOWARD
*sycophant of the US;
another horrid lawyer*

MARTIN BRYANT DID NOT DO IT

AN EVENT of the magnitude, complexity, and significance of the incident which took place at and near Port Arthur, Tasmania, on 28 & 29 April 1996, necessitates extensive long-term planning. **This event could not have been the work of one individual no matter how intelligent he/she is.** And given Martin Bryant is mentally handicapped and had a very low IQ of 66, it is simply impossible that he planned then performed all the many essential arrangements and acts. Below are just a few examples.

■ Facts of the case confirm the gunman at the Broad Arrow Café demonstrated such a ruthless skill and such psychological power that attributing them to Martin Bryant is idiocy. Not only does time evidence confirm he was not at that café when shooting went on there, Bryant did not have the physical ability, or the training, or any natural talent, to kill and wound over 30 people at close range. His intellect could not have planned it, his body could not have not done it, his mind could not have handled it.

And there is another fact, a truthful fact, which adds great doubt to anything that cops say about Martin Bryant. On 23 June 1996, the *Sunday Herald Sun* published an article in which Bill Drysdale of Yass, Victoria, said he believes the rifle, an AR-15, which was allegedly used at Port Arthur was the same one he once owned. Drysdale voluntarily surrendered that rifle to Victorian police in February 1993. It was to have been destroyed, but it seems that did not happen. Then valued at \$1700, it seems corrupt cops sold that rifle back into the gun market.

Victorian cops deny it – but **cops lie**. On 16 June 1996, the same newspaper printed the admission of then assistant commissioner Graham Sinclair who revealed: “17 high-powered semi-automatic military weapons similar to one used in the Port Arthur massacre were sold by police,” after the previous owners were told by cops that the surrendered weapons would be destroyed. Sinclair also admitted that AR-15 rifles given to the cops (to be destroyed), were used by the **“Special Operations Group”** before being sold with that money going to some corrupt agency/official(s). It seems however, that there is **no evidence proving any of those rifles were obtained by Bryant**. But there is evidence that cops in Tasmania **lied** about Bryant – just as their corrupt colleagues in Victoria lied to the public about all those surrendered rifles.

■ Then there is the refrigerated truck capable of transporting 22 bodies. Ask yourself how Bryant figured out how to have it ready in time for that big job at Port Arthur. And if he did not, then which government person/department did? That vehicle did exist because there is an image of it and a for-sale notice related to it appeared on the internet in September 1999. The average number of homicides a year in Tasmania was then about six – one every two months. Mass murder is not happening there on a regular basis. If the vehicle was needed for all types of emergencies, why was it sold? And if it was sold because it was too old or in need of major repairs, why has it not been replaced?

(cont.)

22-BODY VEHICLE FOR SALE
Genuine Enquiries Only



“Yellow Chevrolet 350 V8 truck with refrigerated body, holds 22, this vehicle was primarily used as the disaster vehicle in the Port Arthur Massacre. This vehicle is currently for sale and all reasonable offers will be considered. The vehicle has value as not only a refrigerated unit for body removal, it is the only one of its kind in the entire country. The memorabilia value of it for anyone making a movie/ series or writing a book on Port Arthur is limitless. Not only would the purchaser be getting the disaster vehicle, but the whole Port Arthur Story would be given as well. This vehicle is currently for sale and all REASONABLE OFFERS will be considered.” internet ad; email cwright@trump.net.au

It seems Chris Wright was then employed by Tasmania Police. Why he was associated with this vehicle has not been explained to the public. Nor has a credible explanation ever been given for the very existence of this one-of-a-kind vehicle in little Tasmania.

■ Then there were the three official gatherings which took place around the same time that the incident at the Port Arthur Historic Site occurred: **i.** On **27-28 April**, an emergency medical services training (EMST) program was held at the clinical school near Royal Hobart Hospital. It was attended by many senior trauma management specialists from southeastern Australia; **ii.** Staff (10 managers & supervisors), some of whom would have worked at the site on **28 April**, were, on that very day, expected to attend a workshop at a place (Swansea) two and a half driving hours from the site. Never before had a training session away from the site been planned, and by all accounts there has never been one since away from the site; and, **iii.** About 700 journalists (print & television) from 17 countries were in Hobart for a conference which commenced on **29 April**. It is inconceivable that these three gatherings occurred so close together by coincidence.

Medical specialists did everything they could to help the victims of the shootings. The Royal Hobart Hospital became the setting for a mercy drama which was broadcast live across Australia. Then amidst all this tragedy, Tasmania officials arranged for buses to drive the media to Port Arthur for a guided tour – while Seascape Cottage was still smouldering, while removal of the bodies had not then been completed (bodies were not removed from the site until the 1st of MAY), and Port Arthur Historic Site staff were still numbed by shock and grief. Martin Bryant had nothing to do with any of these things. He is the innocent patsy who evil official planners without any doubt wanted **burnt to death** at Seascape. ■

THE LAWYERS
barristers & solicitors practising in Tasmania

A “Australian lawyers owe their primary duty to the court. A fealty [allegiance] to truth is at the heart of this duty.”

B “[T]he critical role of the defence lawyer is ensuring access to justice. The importance of checking official power and so on.”

C “Many expressed the belief that experienced and skilled lawyers have a special professional obligation to take on high profile, unpopular cases. One of the lawyers who represented Martin Bryant...was matter-of-fact: ‘Someone had to do it. Somebody competent. I like to think I’m competent. I [take] the view that if you’re a senior practitioner...you ought to take these kinds of cases’.”⁵⁶ Footnote⁵⁶ reads: “Interview with David Gunson, Tasmanian barrister and solicitor (Telephone interview, 14 September 2005).... Gunson was the first lawyer to represent Bryant. Gunson restated that at his stage in his career he only took on serious cases: ‘In the beginning of my career I took everything that came through the door. Now, I only take on serious crimes’.”

D The words above of mongrel David Gunson confirm the corruptness of the Tasmanian legal system. It is not a system of justice. Gunson betrayed Martin Bryant and lied to law professor Abbe Smith (Georgetown University, Washington DC, US) who undertook research into defence lawyering in Australia. Mongrel Gunson was actually the second lawyer appointed to **defend** Martin Bryant. The first was the shockingly incompetent Debra Rigby. (Note her failings in MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia.) Martin was unable to engage his own lawyer because the Tasmanian government took his assets, which intentionally left him broke/indigent. **He was then at the mercy of the State and its appointed mongrel lawyers like Rigby, Gunson, and John Avery.** Gunson did not ethically represent mentally-handicapped Martin. Gunson dumped Martin after he pleaded NOT guilty on 30 September 1996. This confirmed the so-called defence lawyer had NO intention of defending innocent Martin. But nine years later, mongrel Gunson told researcher Smith that he had represented Martin Bryant. But the truth is Gunson betrayed innocent Martin and allowed him to be sent to hell via three more corrupt lawyers: John Avery (mongrel defence lawyer); Damian Bugg (mongrel prosecutor); William Cox (mongrel judge). The Port Arthur case was so extreme, no lawyer who worked in Tasmania in 1996 could have been unaware of it. That all those lawyers, and all* the lawyers who now work there, have failed to speak out about the worst injustice in modern-day Australia, and about the appalling cruelty inflicted on innocent Martin Bryant, and about the denial of truth and justice to all the families, relatives, and friends of the victims confirms the legal corruption in Tasmania. (* Two Tasmanian Bar members are exceptions. But their efforts have not been dogged.) ■



DEBRA RIGBY
first appointed lawyer who misrepresented Martin Bryant

DAVID GUNSON
second appointed lawyer who misrepresented Martin Bryant



THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

lies, damn lies, and media reports

A “Australians have been encouraged by the State, by the media, and by compliant families and friends, not to question the official narrative.”

B “The patsy Martin Bryant was locked well away from the media, the public, and his family. No one was going to be allowed near him to conduct any meaningful discussion to determine what he really knew about the killings and officials involved.”

C “[M]ost of the time the term ‘conspiracy theorist’ is used to slander people who are merely asking questions that mainstream journalists have been content to ignore, or who simply have a higher bar than *the media said so* or *the government said so* when it comes to accepting something as truth.” (original italics)

D “[O]utrage against this [boy-]man was akin to the old wild west lynch mobs. I just couldn’t forget the trouble that the media went to profile Bryant, from enhancing of his photograph to make him look like a wild-eyed Manson maniac to the innuendoes that his house was an arsenal for military weapons.” (amended)

E “But **there was no trial** – one was **deliberately prevented by the State**. This means all those allegations, assertions, claims, presumptions, etc., which officials and the media spewed out (and continue to spew) *ad nauseam* with no hesitation, were **never assessed** and evaluated as is required by law.” (original emphasis)

F “The media whose business was, so Australians thought, the reporting of the truth, quickly lost that interest. Demonizing some sad mentally-handicapped nobody became the subject of editorials and front-page photospreads of condemnatory images. Big name news celebrities poured out their acid, and spoke as if they did so on behalf of the entire nation. For the majority of the Australian population, they probably did. It was mindless anger and with some a hate only half-hidden.”

G “And this is how the ABC describes its long-running (over 50 years) program Four Corners: ‘Investigative journalism at its best.’” So where is the Four Corners episode on the incident at Port Arthur? Has it disappeared? Or, was one never made? This editor has been told one was produced but it was not aired. If this is true – Why not? Are we to believe this iconic Australian television program or investigative journalism at its best, succumbed to the dreadful deceit of political interference? The lie pushed by John Howard and Co.... It was never proved in a jury trial that he [Martin Bryant] fired a single shot. And, he has never had one journalist (investigative or otherwise) give him a fair go – NOT ONE!” ■

**THE MEDIA IS
LYING TO YOU**

IAN MATTERTSON
Chief Coroner's Delegate, Southern Tasmania

A “Matterson said the mother was ‘clutching’ her 3-year-old daughter Madeline, while Bugg presented the Crown’s case does not sustain that position. The police training video shows the bodies of the mother and her 3-year-old daughter Madeline separated by approximately two metres. What has occurred here? Were the bodies moved and if so by whom?”

B “What happened in regard to the Port Arthur Massacre was that the entire judicial system was stifled. We were never permitted to have any form of a proper trial for the accused Martin Bryant. We were never permitted to have a Coronial Inquiry, nor shall we ever get any such proper inquiry into that tragic event that has affected so many Australians. Our constitutions have been overruled, our judiciary has been compromised so much so that we appear to be that of Keating’s ‘Banana Republic,’ and our government representatives no longer hear the voices of their constituents.”

C “My wife Elizabeth and I were both employees at the Port Arthur historic site management authority and were both working there the day of the massacre. My wife Elizabeth was murdered inside the gift shop section of the Broad Arrow Cafe. One of 20 victims murdered thereabouts. I know that Martin Bryant was not the gunman at...Port Arthur – How do I know? The coroner Mr Ian Matterson wrote a letter [31 JAN 1997] to a number of the survivors of the massacre informing us that Martin Bryant was not the gunman at Port Arthur.... [W]ell I thought long and hard about this statement and discussed the point with friends, you must understand that there were many other facts of the shootings inside the Broad Arrow Cafe that begged a proper open investigation including workplace safety issues and especially the issue of the emergency exit that were totally outside the issues of the gunman. **It was the simple fact that a coroner Mr Ian Matterson believed that he could not make any finding that was inconsistent with the findings of the Hobart Supreme Court that really stirred me.** The Supreme Court can only make the finding of Guilty or Not Guilty in the matter brought before it. It follows that for Mr Ian Matterson’s inquest into the massacre of Port Arthur to make a finding inconsistent with the Hobart Supreme Court then the finding could have only been that Martin Bryant was Not Guilty of the charges brought before him. For the coroner Mr Ian Matterson to arrive at this decision not to resume the inquest into the death of the 35 people that were murdered at Port Arthur the massacre due to this reason which he himself provided then the coroner Must have been aware that Martin Bryant was **Not Guilty** of the serious offences which produced 72 charges...police brought against him that day.” (added emphasis) ■



IAN MATTERTSON
*did not fight for the families,
relatives, and friends of victims*

MARTIN BRYANT NOT LINKED TO SHOOTING

THE DAY after the massacre, The Examiner [newspaper; Launceston, Tasmania] reported that police had [allegedly] found a .223 mm Armalite M-16 at Port Arthur. Nothing has been heard since about this weapon that was found that day inside the PAHS. Then, on 1 May 1996, the West Australian told the public that the two weapons [allegedly] used had been a 5.56 mm Armalite AR-15 and a Chinese-made SKS .762 mm assault rifle. It is interesting that it took only two days for the Armalite M-16 – a prohibited import – to **disappear from the public record**, to be replaced by a weapon which could be legally bought and sold in Australia.

From this point onwards, the SKS became the weapon most frequently referred to in the media as the weapon [allegedly] used by Bryant. Then, finally, the SKS was dropped altogether and its place in narratives of the massacre was taken by the Belgian FN-FAL. To me, these intriguing shifts look like shifts from the real murder weapons to weapons that could be connected to Bryant, if only because, like him, they also emerged from the Seascape inferno. In any case, **there is no evidence that Bryant procured either of the weapons to which the massacre has officially been attributed.**

No one has even been proven to have sold the weapons to Bryant, and no theory exists that would explain how he came by them if he did not buy them from gun dealers. A similar mystery surrounds the ammunition used at Port Arthur.... The fact that they [Tasmania Police] have **never traced the origin of the ammunition** (or, at least, have never revealed its origin to the public) surely means that it cannot be connected to Bryant. It is, after all, extremely hard to believe that Bryant, with an IQ so low that it would put him in the bottom one or two per cent of the population (as established by [forensic psychologist] Ian Joblin in June 1996), could have managed his purchases of guns, ammunition and everything else involved in the case so successfully that the **police have utterly failed to establish the origin of so much as a single item**. It is far easier to believe that the police simply do not want us to learn who procured these deadly items and how.

Narratives of the Port Arthur massacre also contain mention of other items which allegedly belonged to Martin Bryant. These items consist of a video camera and a yellow Volvo left at the PAHS tollgate, together with items found inside it.... **Not one iota of proof has ever been provided to prove that Martin Bryant owned any of these items** (not even the Volvo, which could have been an identical model to Bryant's....). What's more, no one is on record as having admitted to selling Bryant any of these items. (amended) ■

Carl Wernerhoff
Weapons and Ammunition used at Port Arthur
in THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE:
Was Martin Bryant Framed?
loveforlife.com.au
MAY 2006



JUSTIN NOBLE
Bureau of Criminal Intelligence

A “On a careful examination of this video-tape we were able to identify the three men on the verandah, once we realised that they were part of the exercise. The first to be identified was Hans Overbeeke because of his white Wellington [slaughterhouse] boots he was wearing. The second to be identified was Constable Justin Noble of the BCI (Bureau of Criminal Intelligence) that most corrupt Federal Police body, and the third man puffing away on his cigarette was identified by *shooter's news* as Joe Vialls.”

B “[The witness Sarah Elizabeth Vandepeer] was in the Australian military at the time. Thus, her statements related to the number of shots fired and the reloading have credibility. This witness clearly states she was unable to get through on the emergency number 000. But recall what the NSW cop/witness Justin Noble claimed. He said he used 000 to get through to ‘Police Radio in Hobart,’ even though he phoned later when more people would have been trying to call. So how did Noble do this? His statement says he was a visitor to PAHS, but he got an immediate connection with ‘Police Radio in Hobart.’ Evidence strongly suggests that Noble was officially involved with the incident.”

C “The most important clue perhaps is that, when the shooting began at 1:27 p.m. that day, the café was crowded with in excess of 60 people. It was ‘chockers’ (crammed full), to quote witness Michael Beekman. This is because, in addition to the regular numbers of tourists, there was a sizeable contingent of members of the Australian security (police/military) and intelligence establishments – including many individuals who appear to have been agents of covert government organisations such as ASIO and the even more secretive ASIS. Among the dead, there is considerable certainty regarding the intelligence affiliations of Tony Kistan, Andrew Mills, and Anthony Nightingale. Of the survivors, those who have been tentatively identified as spooks [secret agents] include Rob Atkins, Karen Atkins, Lyn Beavis, Justin Noble, and Hans Overbeeke....” ■



JUSTIN NOBLE
*another deceitful cop
associated with Port Arthur
(n.b. this image may be false)*

HANS OVERBEEKE


mystery, mayhem, murder

A “There is a swirl of allegations around Hans Overbeeke. His image as well as an image of a male person said to be his son Benjamin Overbeeke* – who it is said is the Port Arthur gunman – are on the internet. This editor has not found any statements, nor anything official or from Hans Overbeeke himself, which provides a credible clarification. Given the case in which he was directly involved is a case of mass murder, public clarification is badly needed.” (* Brother of Warren Overbeeke, both of whom it has been said were negatively involved with the incident.)

B “Video footage after the massacre shows 3 men standing in the doorway of the Broad Arrow Café...quite relaxed casually having a cup of coffee and talking with 20 dead bodies just metres away – something strange after such a traumatic event – while others rush blankets to the wounded. These three have now been identified and it makes a very interesting gathering. They were: Hans OVERBEEKE, NSW Police Constable Justin NOBLE, and Joe VIALLS.”

C “Martin had an intimate relationship with a young woman called Petra Willmott. She gave a *Witness Statement*, in fact she gave five such statements. This is what she said in her statement of 28 April 1996: “Martin didn’t have a lot of friends but the only enemy I know he has is a male called Tiger. This male calls Martin up. Martin doesn’t like to answer the phone as he thinks it may be this Tiger. I don’t know who Tiger is or why Martin doesn’t like him.” (added emphasis) So this person called Tiger had been phoning and intimidating Martin. But officials in Tasmania do not want you to know the real name of Tiger, or what he was saying to Martin that worried him so much that he disliked answering the phone. Might this person have been Hans Overbeeke, or Gerard Dutton, or Michael/Mick/Rick Dyson?”

D “If you look at Part 7 [in the book MASS MURDER] which addresses *Witness Statements* never presented to a jury during a trial, there is one from Jenny Moors. She says this: “I think there was another man which I believe might have been a Mr OVERBE[E]KE, marked (12) & he was attending to a body close by.” Now that might have been an act of assistance, but other people have **not** described it as such to this editor. The Port Arthur case literature contains several articles in which this Hans Overbeeke is connected with Joe Vials and Justin Noble, two people whose behavior in relation to the massacre at Port Arthur is highly suspect. There are considerable related comments – **negative comments** – about them on the internet. It is said these three were part of the official incident at Port Arthur.” (original emphasis) ■



HANS OVERBEEKE
*has not answered to
the people of Australia*

SENATE OF AUSTRALIA

MASSACRE

STEPHEN SHANE PARRY

EMBALMER & SENATOR (TAS-LIB)

HAS ADMITTED THE FOLLOWING ABOUT THE 1996
OFFICIAL INCIDENT AT PORT ARTHUR, TASMANIA



"Nelson Brothers [Funeral Services; Melbourne, Victoria] had organised for an embalming machine box and a special large equipment case **to be manufactured ready for the incident**. These two containers were the envy of all embalmers and worked extremely well." (p. 112*)

* Stephen Parry. **Port Arthur Massacre – AFDA National Embalming Team – Detailed Report**, appears in the little-known book PORT ARTHUR SEMINAR PAPERS: A record of the Port Arthur Seminar, 11-12 MAR 1997: p. 104-119, Melbourne, Victoria (ISBN 0642271364).

SENATORS OF AUSTRALIA Stop covering up for evil Stephen Parry Demand he explain to all people of Australia, not just to the Senate, his full role in and complete knowledge of the official incident at Port Arthur, Tasmania, in 1996. You are reminded of that heinous massacre – **35 people killed, 23 wounded**. There was NO inquest, NO public enquiry, NO royal commission, and an innocent mentally-handicapped *boy-man* has been gaoled for 20 years with NO trial. ■

5 MARCH 2016

Dr. Keith Allan Noble; author

Unit 72 B, Am Heumarkt 7, 1030 Vienna, Austria t. 43-1-9712401

MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; 2nd edit, 696 pp, 2014
free pdfs MASS MURDER, PARRY SHOW CAUSE NOTICE, LEAKED DOCUMENTS from
bigwormbooks@gmx.net, murder.research@gmail.com, internet

15

STEPHEN PARRY
embalmer, ex-cop, senator

A "INTERNATIONAL MEDIA RELEASE

"Liberal Party Member, Tasmania, Australia; senator.parry@aph.gov.au, t. 61-3-63341755

"AUSTRALIAN SENATE PRESIDENT SERVED SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

"PRESIDENT of the Senate of Australia, Stephen Shane Parry, was served a SHOW CAUSE NOTICE on 24 February 2016. This notice relates to a shooting incident at Port Arthur in Tasmania, Australia, during which people were killed (35) and wounded (23). Parry, who is also a qualified embalmer and ex-member of the notorious Tasmania Police, led a team of embalmers which handled the bodies in 1996.

"But now it has come to the attention of the Australian public that Parry was aware of pre-planning related to the shooting incident. His confirmatory words appear in a paper he wrote for a seminar held in 1997. Parry's paper, Port Arthur Massacre – AFDA National Embalming Team – Detailed Report, appears in a little-known book titled PORT ARTHUR SEMINAR PAPERS: A record of the Port Arthur Seminar, 11-12 March, 1997, Melbourne, Victoria (ISBN 0642271364). In his disturbing 16-page paper, Stephen Parry states the following:

'I was particularly impressed by the quick response and initiatives by some of the team members in packaging and collecting equipment. The response time and the amount of equipment quickly relocated was fantastic. One firm in particular, Nelson Brothers, had organised for an embalming machine box and a special large equipment case **to be manufactured ready for the incident.**' (p. 112)

"Parry reveals the funeral services company of Nelson Brothers in Melbourne, Victoria, undertook preparations prior to the shooting incident which numbed the nation. This incident resulted in the imprisonment of the alleged perpetrator with no trial and no parole. It is the worst injustice in modern-day Australia. Parry's paper also confirms he knew about this pre-planning in 1997, possibly 1996. The SHOW CAUSE NOTICE requires Parry, a Liberal Party member, to clarify his statement in a sworn affidavit by 16 March 2016." (original emphasis)

B "Revelations...that Senator Stephen Parry had prior knowledge of the shootings, have not been refuted. Parry at the time was a qualified embalmer and a former member of Tasmania Police who led a team of embalmers which handled the bodies in 1996." ■

NELSON BROS
knew a multiple-killing
incident was forthcoming

NELSON BROS
FUNERAL SERVICES



STEPHEN PARRY
has not answered to
the people of Australia

PASSENGER VEHICLES NOT CREDIBLY EXPLAINED

WITHOUT CREDIBLE EVIDENCE numerous things have been falsely associated with several vehicles used in conjunction with the massacre at Port Arthur. But on analysis, known facts plus statements by witnesses do not support the official narrative in which these three types of vehicles are included.

VOLVO Much has been declared about a yellow Volvo which was alleged to belong to Martin Bryant. That he owned one is not disputed. If he went to Roaring Beach on the morning of Sunday the 28th April 1996, as he said he did, he would have driven himself there in his own yellow Volvo. But his journey that morning in that vehicle is not clear. And in fact, attempts were made to set up evidence against Martin. The official narrative says Martin Bryant drove to Midway Point and there stopped and bought one cigarette lighter. Given he did not smoke or use illicit drugs, there was no need for Martin to buy a cigarette lighter. But even more significant than that, the witness Kessarios described a person different to the Martin Bryant who he knew, and Kessarios did not mention anything about seeing a yellow Volvo in his statement.

Then the official narrative says Martin Bryant drove only four kilometres to Sorell where he bought a bottle of "tomato sauce." Now why Martin wanted a bottle of tomato sauce has never been explained. And as Martin himself asked during a police interview – why would he want a bottle of tomato sauce? And like Kessarios, the proprietor (Diamantis) of the Sorell Supermarket never saw a yellow Volvo. The evidence suggests Martin did not stop at Sorell, but someone who looked like him did. If it was Martin, then that bottle of tomato sauce should have been found inside his yellow Volvo. But it was not found inside the Volvo abandoned at the tollbooth. Nor was that cigarette lighter found in it. So did that Volvo at the tollbooth really belong to Martin? It seems not, and the registration plate number is not definitive proof that it did.

Then the official narrative says that Martin Bryant drove only seven kilometres, again presumably in his yellow Volvo, to Forcett where he got himself a cup of coffee. The witness there (King) mentioned a Volvo, but could not be specific about the colour. After this, it is said Martin Bryant drove 53 kilometres to Taranna where he bought fuel which was pumped into the fuel tank of a yellow Volvo – not into containers to use in the burning of a BMW and of Seascape Cottage as some have falsely stated, implying Martin burnt both. There is no evidence he did.

When all the times given by the witnesses and the distances are assessed, we have a yellow Volvo being fuelled at Taranna at the same time as a yellow Volvo, with Martin Bryant sitting behind the wheel, is heading toward Forcett where it stopped and Martin had a cup of coffee. Forcett is 53 kilometres back up the road toward Hobart. But Martin could not have been at both places at the same time. The only way all this makes sense, is that there were two (or more) people who had physical similarities, and that there were two (or more) vehicles which also had physical similarities. Thus, there had to have been at least two *Martin Bryants* and at least two yellow Volvos. Note witnesses gave three different plate numbers for a yellow Volvo which they saw individually: **CC 2835; CC 904?; DC ????**

(cont.)

BURNT REMAINS SEASCAPE COTTAGE & BMW VEHICLE



Burnt and smouldering remains of Seascape Cottage on the left. What is not mentioned in the official narrative is that three nearby buildings gave Tasmania Police ample cover to advance close to the cottage. The cottage was not isolated and unapproachable at night. The burnt body of the BMW vehicle is circled. Its position so far from Seascape and in a slushy area does not correspond with the delivering of hostage Glenn Pears to Seascape. As is visible, directly in front of where the cottage stood is a hard rectangular parking area.

BMW In a bizarre never clarified statement, Martin Bryant said he carjacked the gold-coloured BMW near Fortescue Bay. That process and the people who he said were there at the time are nothing at all like what happened at the tollbooth. Several witnesses there have described Helene & Robert Salzmann sitting inside a yellow Volvo talking with a gunman. The same gunman who shot both of them, then Mary Rose Nixon and James/Jim Pollard. All four had driven to the tollbooth in a gold-coloured BMW and waited for the gunman who arrived in a yellow Volvo. This gunman had no need to carjack that BMW. But he did. This only makes sense if setting up Martin Bryant was the intention. (There for all the media to film then broadcast was [allegedly] Bryant's yellow Volvo parked at the tollbooth. The dumb logic being it was Bryant's car so Bryant was the gunman.) Note Martin did not have a licence to drive any motor vehicle and he could not drive a vehicle with a manual-change gearbox, which this BMW had. Thus, Martin Bryant was not the gunman at or near the historic site as he could not have driven that BMW away from the tollbooth.

COMMODORE Before the shooting on the access road, a red Commodore parked directly behind a gold-coloured BMW at the tollbooth. It was driven there by Thomas Buckley (real name?) with his alleged wife Debra. After the shooting on the access road, which was visible to the Buckleys, they did not drive away which they could have done. Strangely, they ran off abandoning that red Commodore. It was not reclaimed by them and there is no record of what happened to it. Someone drove it away from the tollbooth crime scene, but who and where to have never been revealed. One witness (Barnett) who viewed the tollbooth after the shooting at the general store wrote he "could not see any vehicles at all." He saw three (*sic*) bodies at the tollbooth, but the red Commodore and yellow Volvo were not there. ■

THE POLICE
state & federal

A “The video footage is one issue, the time factor is another. Why did it take police 6hrs to arrive except for one policeman at 4.30pm and two female officers at 5.30pm to control over 500 people and 5 major crime scenes? There are many other issues to be considered. But it is one huge coverup.”

B “[T]he siege continued with Const. Pat Allen, with his hand-held radio (on the same OPEN wave length as all emergency services in Tasmania incidentally) seeking from a police officer senior in rank to him, for permission to shoot the gunman, saying words to the effect, ‘I have the gunman in my sights: **permission to shoot**,’ and with the answer coming straight back over the radio, a direct order, repeated I would point out, for a second time emphatically, **‘Do not shoot, this has to happen. I repeat do not shoot this has to happen’**.” (added emphasis)

C “**Leaked Police Audio Reveals Martin Bryant Innocent!** On April 28, 1996, 35 people are shot dead at Port Arthur, Tasmania, Australia. This was the opportunity the Australian Government needed to bring in uniform gun laws Australia-wide. 28 year old intellectually impaired Martin Bryant was the perfect patsy for the massacre. He was easily manipulated and coerced to plead guilty to a crime, he could not have committed. A trial would have cleared him, as there was not a shred of evidence he was the gunman. This video touches on the police audio evidence, which alone reveals his innocence!” (added emphasis)

D “I am not aware of just how many of the Photographic Identification Boards there were, but at least one witness described it for me. There were numerous police mug shot photos of various males, all in **black and white**. However the only photograph of Martin Bryant was a **coloured photograph**, so that it immediately stood out as different. If you refer to Linda White’s statement in regard to the board she viewed she even states that Martin Bryant is wearing the same clothing that he was wearing when he shot her, which was totally incorrect and demonstrates that Linda White has confused the newspaper photograph of Martin Bryant and the person who she fleetingly saw before he shot her.” (added emphasis)

E “An obvious source of fingerprints and DNA would have been the food tray (with a can of Solo soft drink, a plastic Schweppes cup, food items and eating utensils) that Rebecca McKenna saw the gunman eating from immediately prior to the shooting. We know that the tray was recovered by the police, because it is shown in a police training video that turned up in a second-hand shop in September 2004. Although the tray would have contained fingerprints, thumb prints, palm prints, saliva, sweat, skin and possibly hair from the shooter, there is no evidence that it yielded anything that came from Martin Bryant. The only reason we have heard nothing about forensic evidence of this kind, surely, is that none of it incriminated him.” ■

EXPOSE COP SCUM!
Let's End Police Corruption!
Enough is Enough!

THE PSYCHIATRISTS *mind destroying mongrels*

A "All these psychiatrists and all these police telling the public about Martin Bryant – who he was, what he did, why he did it – but Martin was never allowed to speak to the public, or to the media, or to an ethical lawyer."

B "There are telling inconsistencies between the report handed to the Hobart supreme court, and the article written by Cantor, Mullen, and Alpers. This would suggest these papers deal with more of a political ideology rather than **the search for truth**. There must be grave doubts about what Prof Mullen [psychiatrist] or the other authors of this article, inform us of in relation to all the various *Lone Nut Gunman* style massacres in Australia." (added emphasis)

C "Psychiatrists known to have worked with Bryant who may have been involved in such a memory recovery program would include doctor Fred E. Emery, of the notorious brain-washing specialists at the Tavistock Institute, who died on 10 April 1997, that is, only a year after Port Arthur – a fact that might well be regarded as suspicious – and professor emeritus Ivor Jones of the University of Hobart, who headed the two floors of Royal Hobart Hospital which were devoted to psychiatric studies at the time Bryant was being detained there."

D "If there is one enigma regarding the Port Arthur massacre, then it must be the Tasmanian government forensic psychiatrist Dr Ian Sale. It has been discovered that Dr Sale became directly involved with the Port Arthur massacre as part of the police negotiation team which had talks with *Jamie* [one of them] during the afternoon of the 28th April 1996. We knew that the police negotiation team had the police psychologist Mike Ryan on the team. But on the 28th April, Ian Sale was a *guest* on the team. Now this is significant, as the negotiation team is a highly organised team of police personnel, so why would a government forensic psychiatrist be invited to join them, and at what time was he requested to attend?"

E "In MY STORY [2010: p. 154], Carleen Bryant declares medical records *disappeared*: 'Doctor Parker informed me he was there to reassess Martin as Martin's earlier records had disappeared from around the time the prison psychiatrist, Doctor Lopes, had left the prison. This was a great shock and I had to wonder why records would disappear? Doctor Lopes denied any knowledge of the whereabouts of these records.' How many psychologists and psychiatrists damaged Martin's brain?: Terence Craven; Eric Cunningham-Dax; Fred Emery; Ian Joblin; Ivor Jones; Wilfred Lopes; Bernard Mather; Paul Mullen; Gordon Parker; ? Rushton; Ian Sale; *Etc.* This is over 10. Was Martin that abnormal? Or, was it all the drugs pushed into him over the years by these shrinks? That his psychiatric records disappeared, strongly suggests something was done to Martin that had to be kept secret." (original italics) ■



PAUL MULLEN
*did not present evidence
under oath during a trial*

IAN SALE
*did not present evidence
under oath during a trial*

MARTIN BRYANT NOT GUILTY BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT

A HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT CHARACTERISTIC of humans is the desire for certainty. Many official decisions in the Port Arthur case provide answers which were/are wanted for subjective (human not legal) reasons. People crave what they were given – an official narrative which explains everything (and ignores what it can't). Spurious certainty is comforting. Whereas uncertainty raised by investigators and thinkers is condemned and shunned as being wrong because it is unsettling. But the truth is, the Port Arthur case is riddled with uncertainties and decisive decisions made on them. Some of these uncertain elements which raise a considerable number of doubts are:

- **TWO CONSTABLES** Two constables (they say) went to Saltwater River (they say) to find drugs (they say) which turned out to be soap powder (they say). When asked who sent them on this wild RInso chase the phone tip-off was lost (they say). But when the female partner of one of those cops received a phone call from one of the *Jamies*, notes were made (they say) of that conversation. But they will not say why *Jamie* wanted to speak with the cop.
- **TWO GUNMEN** It is suggested the Port Arthur gunman is Benjamin Overbeeke. It seems he and *Mick/Rick* Dyson were the two gunmen at Seascapes Cottage. But the set-up required Martin Bryant the patsy to be blamed for everything. So officials identified him as the *lone-nut* gunman, and to ensure the set-up worked there was **no trial**.
- **TWO-STOP ILES** Witnesses confirm constable Chris Iles of distant Sorell stopped at the Port Arthur Kodak Shop. Then he drove his police vehicle to the Port Arthur general store where he stopped. Then he drove away never to be seen or heard from again. There is no record of him ever being contacted or sent to the incident. His name does not appear in any official documents related to the case.
- **TWO VOLVOS** There are *Witness Statements* describing different yellow Volvos. Registration plates numbers differ – three were identified by witnesses: CC 2835, CC 904?, and DC ??. No surfboard(s) on top is/are mentioned by some witnesses, one and two surfboards by others. One witness (Law) described another yellow Volvo being driven at PAHS after the gunman had departed in a yellow Volvo. There is no proof either was Martin Bryant's yellow Volvo. So how many Volvos were there? And who really owned them? Note that a specific number plate on any vehicle does not prove ownership. Plates can be changed as car thieves and cops will confirm.
- **TWO TYPES OF FOOTWEAR** One witness (Beekman) recorded in his statement that the gunman wore "Nike sandshoes." Another witness (Rabe) said the gunman was wearing "Blundstone type boots." And in fact, a third witness (Kessarios) wrote the blond-haired man (possibly a gunman) who entered his shop on that Sunday morning wore "lace-boots." There's lots of doubt related to the footwear. **(cont.)**

- TWO SPORTSBAGS Different descriptions, different colours. The shooter/gunman went into the café with a bag, left a bag there, then came out with a bag, which witnesses saw him place in the boot of a yellow Volvo – not Bryant's with certainty.
- TWO JAMIES Allegedly, one *Jamie* phoned (15:08) the partner of a local cop. How *Jamie* got the number officials never said. (Did the gunman want to tell that constable: *I'm now at Seascape the shooting is done?*) Then another *Jamie* got on the phone and told the negotiator that he was preparing something to eat. For *Rick*? Or for *Mick*? Or for the two owners? But according to the complaisant judge William Cox, one of those two *Jamies* killed the owners before the shooting began at Broad Arrow Café.
- TWO NAKED-WOMAN SIGHTINGS Two cops recorded in writing seeing/hearing a naked black-haired woman at Seascape at "6p.m." on Sunday afternoon. Another cop saw a naked "person." The official narrative says the co-owner of Seascape, grey-haired Mrs. Martin, was killed before midday. So who was this naked black-haired woman who cops saw running and screaming at Seascape that afternoon?
- TWO ADMITTANCE TICKETS If that yellow Volvo went into the site only once, how is it that "Two Historic Site admittance tickets on the dash near the grey wallet" (in A GUNSMITH'S NOTEBOOK ON PORT ARTHUR) were noted? This doesn't reflect the certainty pushed in the official narrative.
- TWO PAIRS OF HANDCUFFS There was so much talk about two pairs of handcuffs – why then were they not on the evidence list? Allegedly, they were used to restrain Glenn Pears inside the cottage with the other two hostages inside that cottage. But there are two stories about the end of Pears and those two pairs of never-seen handcuffs. They found the two bodies of the owners inside the cottage, but it took another two days for the shocking details to get out where the remains of Pears were found. It seems Glenn Pears was burnt to death inside the boot of the BMW.
- TWO BMW STORIES Now Martin Bryant (one of the *Jamies*) said he car-jacked the gold-coloured BMW near Fortescue Bay. But the gunman (the other *Jamie*?) is said to have carjacked the BMW at the tollbooth. So we have two stories, and two people, and two places – so nothing is certain other than doubts.
- TWO PEOPLE KNEW GUNMAN The State does not want you to think about this uncertainty. The gunman stopped a yellow Volvo at the tollbooth, where four people waited for him in a gold-coloured BMW. Witnesses saw Robert & Helene Salzmann seated **inside** that Volvo talking with the gunman. Then the gunman shot all four of those people and carjacked their BMW. You are to believe the gunman left a yellow Volvo behind at the tollbooth and that it belonged to Martin Bryant. But one witness (Barnett) who was at the tollbooth after the shooting has written there were no vehicles there. He said he saw NO vehicles at the tollbooth, just bodies.

(cont.)

- TWO SURFERS Martin Bryant said he went to Roaring Beach on that Sunday (28th) morning. At that beach, he said he saw two surfers. But you won't find any details in the literature of the police searching for or identifying those two surfers. Are we certain they were surfers? Or were they cops?
- TWO ADULTS AT FORTESCUE BAY The gunman carjacked the BMW at the toll-booth. But Martin Bryant says he did the same thing near Fortescue Bay. He also said there were two adults in that vehicle, plus a small child. Now, why would he say he carjacked that BMW when it was taken in front of witnesses at the tollbooth? Bryant also spoke about those two adults, *Rick* and a university-educated woman with her child. This element is filled with uncertainty and thus doubts.
- TWO PLEADINGS Martin Bryant, who was doomed regardless of what he pleaded, said he was **not guilty** on 30 SEP 1996. But that was not acceptable to the State. So, the State made him plead a second time. On 22 NOV 1996, a plea of guilty was submitted. It wasn't Bryant's plea, it was the plea of his corrupt lawyer **John Avery** who was supposed to be defending him. Now, it is not clear and certain why two pleas had to be submitted other than to set up Bryant. He said he was not guilty. Thus, there should have been a trial. But Bryant was coerced into a complete plea reversal. Is it clear and credible to you that the Tasmanian legal system requires two pleadings?
- TWO WOMEN (AGENTS?) AT SEASCAPE COTTAGE On the night of 27-28 APR 1996, two women were guests at Seascape. They were Lynne Beavis and allegedly her sister Jean Andrews. Later, after an unusual article appeared in The Mercury newspaper (10 AUG 2004), it was confirmed that Beavis is not who she said she was. Beavis presented an extremely detailed and long *Witness Statement* (20 MAY 1996), but the editor has never been able to find a statement from her alleged sister. Lots of uncertainty with this pair – too much to make definitive decisions.
- TWO OLD SHOTGUNS At Seascape Cottage, the two owners owned two old shot-guns (decorative items, firing pins removed), plus a small .22 rifle for use on feral cats. In a mysterious metamorphosis, they were turned into a long list of firearms or parts of firearms by Gerard Dutton. The uncertainty on this subject is very certain. Dutton conjured up a long list of alleged firearm evidence which proves nothing. There is lots of uncertainty around Dutton.

We could mention the two officially-lit fires at Seascape (the BMW on Sunday, then the cottage on Monday), but that might be too much. All this uncertainty leads to considerable doubt associated with all significant elements of the Port Arthur incident. To believe the official narrative is 100 percent accurate is a deadly deception. This deception has had, and continues to have, a big negative impact on how unthinking people react to any mention of the case and of Martin Bryant. ■

RICK SARRE
Professor (sic), University of South Australia

A “This editor was emailed an article (3 MAR 2015) from a UK website: The Conversation – theconversation.com. As part of its masthead it declares: *academic rigour, journalistic flair*. So when we add to this the title of the article Martin Place Siege Review Makes Case To Tighten, Not Relax, Gun Laws, then add the name of the author Rick Sarre of The University of South Australia, then you’d probably think this article is serious stuff. But that would be a misperception. Skimming through the paragraphs of the article, this editor found the following demonization: ‘All of that changed on April 28, 1996, in Port Arthur, Tasmania. On that day, a disturbed loner, Martin Bryant, used a high-powered semi-automatic weapon to gun down 35 innocents’....

“Now if you think Sarre has just made a harmless error, then you’re wrong. Because you see, Sarre has several university degrees and is a professor of law.... How could a professor who has taught ‘law and criminology for 30 years’ and who is ‘president of the Australian and New Zealand Society of Criminology’ make such appalling low-intellect remarks? This Sarre, this professor of law, condones the conviction of someone without a trial – WITH NO TRIAL. To Sarre, it is legally acceptable that officials in Tasmania sat behind closed doors and convicted a person without a trial. And Sarre himself sinks the boot in by further demonising innocent Martin, calling him a ‘disturbed loner.’

“Of course Sarre did not raise the fact that Martin is retarded. He is a *boy-man*. He is so mentally challenged, that long before the incident at Port Arthur he was not legally permitted to make all his own decisions. He had been receiving a government pension because of his mental incompetence. He was supposed to have a legal guardian but he did not have one when Avery was pressuring him to plead guilty....

“[N]o one in Australia or anywhere else can rightly say *Bryant did it* as if this claim was a proved truth. But this is what has happened. Sarre with all his university degrees and law teaching accepts and promotes the unproved official narrative.” (original emphasis & italics) ■



RICK SARRE
*university professor who
professes cruel legal bull*

19

DAVID WEISBROT
Chairman, Australian Press Council

A “Prof. WEISBROT Today, a dismissive email from the director of complaints for the Australian Press Council was received. It relates to a complaint and a 21-page submission which were lodged with your council last month.... Based on feedback from those concerned about the whole incident at Port Arthur, which now includes several barristers, your Paul Nangle’s words are totally unacceptable.

“Surely you do not have to be informed the massacre (35 killed; 23 wounded) was an officially premeditated, planned, and professionally perpetrated mass murder after which there was: NO CREDIBLE INCULPATORY EVIDENCE; NO CORONIAL INQUEST; NO PUBLIC INQUIRY; NO ROYAL COMMISSION; NO CREDIBLE IDENTIFICATION; NO WITNESS TESTIMONY IN COURT; NO REAL DEFENCE LAWYER; NO ACCEPTANCE OF NOT GUILTY PLEA*; NO JURY; and, NO TRIAL. (* Six months in prison isolation with threats, deception, and drugs(?) broke the patsy. Then mongrel lawyer John Avery forced Avery’s guilty plea on him. Read the details in the book MASS MURDER.)

“In the words of your Mr. Nangle: “We are also not satisfied that any possible offence caused by the article is so substantial as to outweigh the importance in the public interest of allowing freedom of expression.” (signed: Paul *sic*) So according to your Mr. Nangle, News Corp Australia can publish articles – plural not singular – which promote hatred of and the killing of a person who was videoed in prison, the images then being associated with alleged facts not proved at a trial. According to your Mr. Nangle, News Corp Australia is: “entitled to express robust and, at times, provocative views.” Yes, provoking the killing of a mentally incompetent *boy-man* whose IQ was 66 is robust. Do you not agree?

“But as for all the statements related to ethics in the submission, your Mr. Nangle said nothing. Those ethics-related statements are from: Australian Human Rights Commission; Australian Medical Association; your Australian Press Council; Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment 1984; Media, Entertainment, and Arts Alliance; The Mercury – Tasmanian newspaper; and, Standard Guidelines for Corrections in Australia. Clearly, your Mr. Nangle has no concern what these Australian bodies have stated in relation to the ethical treatment of human beings. Your Mr. Nangle doesn’t give a damn about any ethics. He’s quite content to accept News Corp Australia continuing to demonise and set up innocent Martin Bryant so someone (Risdon inmate or employee) can smash his skull, or choke him to death, or kick his guts in, or whatever it is that your Mr. Nangle believes is robust enough.” (original capitals) ■



DAVID WEISBROT
unethical regulator;
mainstream media lackey

20

MIKE WILLESEE
Television Presenter

A “I just can’t stop LAUGHING at this absurdity. Oh of course, I forgot this was broadcast on Channel 7 [6 MAR 2016] whose chairman is none other than the Mr Kerry Stokes AC. Mr Stokes are you responsible for Mr Willesee’s presentation? Here’s a challenge – present the evidence that challenges Martin Bryant’s conviction if you dare. Mr Willesee’s presentation is such unquestionable propaganda and as journalism is a disgrace, nothing but a disgrace.”

B “Yes, the Willesee expose [6 MAR 2016] on Port Arthur was a disgrace. How desperate they must be to cover their sorry arses. Too many people have too much to lose if the public awareness over what really happened at Port Arthur ever gets out. But their ‘tried and tested’ methods of propaganda seem to be failing them lately. The more they try to confirm the official lies, the more people are waking up to their bullshit. Willesee is a pathetic joke.”

C “The Seven Network is not trying too hard to convince us Bryant is guilty. John Avery is trying to convince us he is a good guy. He says Bryant gave him a confession. Great to see they got all of this ‘evidence’ for us 20+ years on. This whole thing reminds me of the case of Andrew Mallard where a mentally ill man gives a ‘full confession’ – written down by the police. They make much of the drawings Bryant made. No doubt after the police gave him a full run down – then asked him to make a drawing of the scene. I’m sure, eager to please. It’s clear not even Mike Willesee believes it.... Now John Avery is telling us that Bryant made a full confession to him. Would that be after the three months of isolation?... Certainly, there is something people are not talking about. Bryant sounds like he is high on either cocaine or amphetamine during the siege at the house. Now John Avery is telling a lot of fibs – because he has received a lot of flak over his performance as Martin Bryant’s lawyer. He keeps saying he wanted to convince Bryant to plead guilty. Funny, but that is NOT his lawyer’s job. His lawyers job is to represent his client’s wishes. Now Avery is telling us that he did everything he could to manipulate Bryant. Nice to see him making a full confession. Then they have the giant ‘confession letter.’ Truly, this is all bizarre. As I watch – I see Willesee has grave doubts about the story. Now Avery is playing ‘poor me.’ Now Avery is playing the victim. Wow. I’m blown away by this half assed attempt at an expose. It’s clear the producers don’t believe what they have produced.” ■



MIKE WILLESEE
*unethical presenter;
mainstream media mullet*

MARTIN BRYANT IS INNOCENT RELATED EMAILS

Whoever did it had skills way beyond anything that could reasonably be expected of this chap Bryant.

Brigadier Ted Serong
Australian Defence Force

■ “THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM is the eardrum shattering noise from a 5.56mm or 7.62mm cartridge discharged in a confined space or in the open. As a shooter of some 50 years of most rifles, handguns and shotguns in every hunting situation and sporting discipline except combat, I can guarantee the shooter in the café would have been deaf, probably with perforated eardrums when he walked out, unless he had top quality earplugs or earmuffs. Of course no witnesses have mentioned these necessities. **At no time have the police made any reference to Bryant being deafened or concussed by multiple discharges** that I have seen. If Bryant was the shooter and was without ear protection he would have been suffering from ear pain and disorientation when he left the café. I have experienced such a problem in the past from not wearing earmuffs or firing from within the confines of a vehicle. The noise becomes so intolerable that the shooter cannot possibly fire one more shot even in the air without extreme pain in the ears and head making any marksmanship impossible. If Bryant tried to shoot more people after he left the café he simply would not have been able to do it. Therefore the only conclusion is that the shooter/s had proper ear protection and **that person was not Bryant.**” (added emphasis; 14 APR 2016; name withheld) ■

■ “I SERVED ABOUT 10 YEARS IN THE ADF [Australian Defence Force] Reserves in an Infantry Battalion in the 19XXs [sic]. I represented the Battalion on the Battalion six man shooting team at the AASAM (Australian Army Skill at Arms meeting) on three occasions, and was the best shot in rifle, machine gun and pistol in my last year with the shooting team as well as being the Battalion top shot in my last year in the ADF. I also represented the winning Army team in the tri-service shoot on two occasions as I recall. I also held the cross rifles award when I left the ADF. As a person with shooting skills I find it **impossible to believe** that Martin Bryant with an IQ of about 60 and mental problems did the crime at Port Arthur on that fateful day. Some person highly skilled was responsible from what I have read about the shooting. I suggest that **Martin Bryant did not do the crime** but rather a special forces shooter by the way the shooting was done based upon what I have read. A number of the families of those killed that day asked for an investigation/inquiry and this was rejected by the Federal and State Government. I have also read that the Federal Government has put a 30 year embargo on the matter. No trial of Martin Bryant ever was held.... A firearm used in the shooting was found to have originated from Victoria as I recall and this was not investigated as I recall. I believe the event **was covertly planned and carried out.**” (amended; added emphasis; 7 MAR 2013; name withheld) ■

emails sent to
Keith Allan Noble



REFERENCES

1 ASIO

- A** John Pilger. *A SECRET COUNTRY*; 1992: p. 190.
- B** Brian Toohey. *Australia's New Secret Police*; on eurekastreet.com.au; 9 OCT 2014.
- C** Andrew S. MacGregor. *PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE: A closer look at State terrorism in Australia*; rumormillnews.com; 21 DEC 2012.
- D** Keith Allan Noble. *ASIO* (pp. 760-761); in *THE WORST OF BRITISH JUSTICE: Readings Related to Injustice in Australia*; Big Worm Books; 2015: pp. 760, 761, 764, 765.

extra: See SPIES, BOMBS & THE PASS OF BLISS; Potoroo Press; 1986, by Australian author and barrister Tom Molomby. This damning book reveals the criminal history of ASIO which was involved with the Sydney Hilton Hotel terror bombing in 1978: a blast which **killed three innocent people**; a false-flag bombing which led to another **three innocent people being set up by corrupt cops then imprisoned**; a State crime never explained fully and openly to the people of Australia; etc. Of course ASIO has denied being involved with the killing (1967) of Harold Holt, with the bombing (1978) at the Sydney Hilton Hotel, with the massacre (1996) at Port Arthur, with the shooting (2014) at Martin Place. Research and read on these topics before ridiculing.

2 ATTORNEYS GENERAL

- A** Lloyd T. Vance & Steve Johnson. *Truth about Port Arthur Massacre*; in *Truth* (pp. 1-40); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 22.
- B** Keith Allan Noble; Cherri Bonney. Petition – *Martin Bryant Deserves a Coronial Inquest and For All Our Sakes*; change.org; 2016. (please sign)
- C** Andrew S. MacGregor. *That Bloody Door*; in *State Corruption* (pp. 499-582); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 564.
- D** Lloyd T. Vance & Steve Johnson. *What Was Alleged Martin Bryant Did That Day & Things That Happened Up To His Sentencing*; southeastasianews.org/portarthur/questions.html: 8 APR 2016.
- E** Expose Australia. facebook.com/ExposeAustralia/posts/743091395796078:0; 5 OCT 2015.

3 JOHN AVERY

- A** Mike Willessee. *Inside the Mind of Martin Bryant*; Sunday Night (Channel 7); 6 MAR 2016.
- B** Andrew S. MacGregor. email to Keith Allan Noble; 14 OCT 2012.
- C** Sally Glaetzer. *Avery Sentence Increased*; The Mercury; 1 MAY 2009.
- D** GoCo77. A QUESTION OF GUILT: The massacre at Port Arthur (6 of 7); 16 MAY 2013.
- E** Michael O'Farrell (president, Tasmanian Bar). letter to Keith Allan Noble; 25 JAN 2013.
- F** Terry Schulze. email to Keith Allan Noble; 3 OCT 2012.
- G** Keith Allan Noble. *Martin Bryant is Innocent*; forumjar.com; 16 NOV 2012.

extra: John Avery was appointed to act as the defence (*sic*) lawyer for innocent Martin Bryant was what the public was told. But Avery was really appointed to do what his predecessor David Gunson did not do – stop Martin from pleading NOT guilty and get him to make a plea of guilty. Tasmania had to maintain the pretence of a proper legal process, but it could never allow a trial to be conducted. Corrupt mongrel Avery coerced mentally-handicapped Martin to say what Avery wanted him to say. Imagine an *11-year-old boy* with no legal experience or knowledge in that predicament and after being kept in illegal isolation for over (cont.)

six months. Martin Bryant had no adult understanding of what was being done to him. It has been said that he was told he would get a television set in his room if he changed his not guilty plea. This is what the incident investigator Andrew S. MacGregor states in the video *A QUESTION OF GUILT: The Massacre at Port Arthur* (2008): "Martin Bryant was totally betrayed by the Tasmanian judicial system." There was a mass of exculpatory evidence that Gunson and Avery could have used to build a sound ethical defence. But Martin was the patsy, so corrupt Tasmanian officials ensured he was convicted. The public, shamelessly manipulated by the State and mainstream media, howled approval – while the families, relatives, and friends of the victims were lied to and denied justice. Twenty years later, justice has still not been served.

4 JAMES BALASKO

A Carl Wernerhoff. *THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE: Was Martin Bryant Framed?* nexusmagazine.com.au; OCT-NOV 2006: p. 14.

B Keith Allan Noble. in *The Witnesses* (pp. 377-498); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 385.

extra: Another video image shows a person running near the Broad Arrow Café after the shooting there. False claims were made it was Martin Bryant. Further enquiries confirmed it was a Port Arthur Historic Site employee running blankets to injured people at the vehicle parking area.

5 DAMIAN BUGG

A eyewitness. in Andrew S. MacGregor. *DECEIT AND TERRORISM: Port Arthur Massacre*; 2001-4: p. 17.

B Lloyd T. Vance, Steve Johnson. scribd.com, 10 FEB 2013.

C Carl Wernerhoff. *THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE: Was Martin Bryant Framed?* nexusmagazine.com; OCT-NOV 2006: n. 5.

D Keith Allan Noble. in *The Incident* (pp. 133-198); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 193, n. 145.

E Andrew S. MacGregor. *That Bloody Door*; in *State Corruption* (pp. 499-582); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 569.

F Keith Allan Noble. in *Justice* (pp. 583-644); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 624, n. 62.

extra: In *Prosecutors are master framers*; JUSTICE DENIED; 1999: vol 1, no. 9, Hans Sherrer says: "To one degree or another, all frame-ups of people are orchestrated by prosecutors acting behind the scenes." After Bugg set up innocent Martin Bryant to die inside Risdon Prison, the State made mongrel Bugg a Member of the Order of Australia – it was State buggery at its best.

6 WILLIAM COX

A Keith Allan Noble. in *The Patsy* (pp. 199-294); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 269, n. 113.

B Keith Allan Noble. in *The Witnesses* (pp. 377-498); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 498.

C Keith Allan Noble. in *Justice* (pp. 583-644); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 588.

7 GERARD DUTTON

- A** Stewart K. Beattie. Primary Firearms & Ammunition; in A GUNSMITH'S NOTEBOOK ON PORT ARTHUR; DVD book; 2006: p. 30.
- B** Stewart K. Beattie. Primary Firearms & Ammunition; in A GUNSMITH'S NOTEBOOK ON PORT ARTHUR; DVD book; 2006: p. 22.
- C** Carl Wernerhoff. *THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE: Was Martin Bryant Framed?*; loveforlife.com.au; MAY 2006.
- D** Andrew S. MacGregor. *Statutory Declaration Extracts: Gerard Dutton – 9 SEP 1996*; in The Evidence (pp. 295-376); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; 2014: p. 325.

extra: i. On 3 FEB 2013, Keith Allan Noble wrote and asked Dutton to clarify a finding which suggests Dutton concocted a person (James F. Taylor; Colt Manufacturing, Connecticut, USA). Dutton has not replied; ii. On the internet, there are references to an educational gathering (May 2004?) in the USA during which Dutton is alleged to have admitted there is "No" evidence linking Bryant to the Broad Arrow Café shooting. It seems Dutton has never denied making the statement; iii. In his paper on Gerard Dutton's *Statutory Declaration* (9 SEP 1996), Andrew S. MacGregor details that Dutton has committed perjury. Dutton has not publicly denied this; and, iv. In a paper Dutton wrote (Australian Police Journal; DEC 1998), he states the name Martin Bryant or Bryant **52 times**. Did you get that? On every page, Dutton wrote the name Bryant or Martin Bryant an average of **c.2.5 times**. On one page, Dutton mentions the name **9 times**. Dutton's article purporting to be about ballistic evidence is nothing more than a hit-piece on an *11-year-old boy* who cannot defend himself verbally or in writing.

8 MICHAEL DYSON

- A** Lloyd T. Vance, Steve Johnson (eds.). *Fake Tasmania Police Statement*; in The Truth About Port Arthur; scribd.com; 28 APR 2012.
- B** Lloyd T. Vance, Steve Johnson (eds.). *Fake Tasmania Police Statement*; in The Truth About Port Arthur; scribd.com; 28 APR 2012.
- C** Keith Allan Noble. in *Justice* (pp. 583-644); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 591.
- D** Andrew S. MacGregor. *The Port Arthur Massacre Was a Planned Event From Start to Finish*; Adelaide Institute; NOV 2004: no. 227.

extra: Michael/Mick Dyson was the Special Operations Group (SOG) leader when Joe Gilewicz was killed at Pelverata, Tasmania, in July 1991. See the 2007 book *DISQUIET* by Paul Tapp for details. Also note the details in Tapp's book about the first killing by the Tasmanian Police SOG: "...the Scottsdale incident in which the SOG killed a man in a siege." (p. 234)

9 JOHN HOWARD

- A** Michael Moore. *Howard's End: Due Process To Be Resumed?*; cairnsblog.net/2007/12/howards-end-due-process-to-be-resumed.html; 2 DEC 2007.
- B** Alan Ramsey. *Seven Weeks to Assess Advice and Do Nothing*; Sydney Morning Herald; smh.com.au; 24 NOV 2004.
- C** Joanne Eisen, Paul Gallant, Andrew S. MacGregor. *A Short-Cut to Australia's Civilian Disarmament?*; keepandbeararms.com; 1 FEB 2013.

1996-2016
20 YEARS CORRUPTION, DECEPTION, LIES
OFFICIAL MASS MURDER, PORT ARTHUR, TASMANIA

- D** Robert N. Moles. *A STATE OF INJUSTICE*; Lothian Books; 2004: p. xx.
- E** name withheld. email to Keith Allan Noble; 9 APR 2016.
- F** Syd Walker. *Eyes That Shame Australian Journalism*; on SydWalker.info; 14 APR 2009.
- G** Mick of Perth. *Massacre Victims' Families Outraged Over Mum's Book*; perthnow.com.au; 5 DEC 2010.

extra: Charles Woolley said this about the book referred to by Mick of Perth – *MY STORY* by Carleen Bryant: “I’m both a Tasmanian and a journalist. I don’t believe that this is a story that should be suppressed. I believe this is more than a footnote to the Port Arthur massacre.” *A Mother’s Burden* on Channel 9 (60 MINUTES); 27 FEB 2011.

10 THE LAWYERS

- A** Abbe Smith. *Defending the Unpopular Down-Under*; in 30 Melb.U.L.Rev. pp. 495-553 (free download: scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/facpub/220/); FEB 2010: p. 502.
- B** Abbe Smith. *Defending the Unpopular Down-Under*; in 30 Melb.U.L.Rev. pp. 495-553 (free download: scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/facpub/220/); FEB 2010: p. 496.
- C** Abbe Smith. *Defending the Unpopular Down-Under*; in 30 Melb.U.L.Rev. pp. 495-553 (free download: scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/facpub/220/); FEB 2010: p. 506.
- D** Keith Allan Noble.

extra: All the lawyers involved with the Port Arthur incident had no intention of having justice served. This is obvious. There was no determined effort to have the truth revealed in a proper jury-trial. The State did everything to ensure there was NO trial, NO coronial inquest, NO public enquiry, NO royal commission, *etc*. The truth continues to be downplayed, distorted, denied. Twenty years later, the people of Australia have NOT been told the truth. **And the State and media continue to demonize innocent Martin Bryant while deliberately ignoring a mass of exculpatory evidence.** Justice is denied in Tasmania. In his book *A STATE OF INJUSTICE*; 2004: p. 259, South Australia based law researcher and writer Robert N. Moles states the following: “Without the precepts of justice, the truth will not emerge. Without truth, justice cannot prevail.” And it was French jurist-philosopher Montesquieu (1689-1755) who said: *There is no greater tyranny than that which is perpetrated under the shield of law and in the name of justice.*

11 THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

- A** Keith Allan Noble. in *Truth* (pp. 1-40); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 9.
- B** Keith Allan Noble. in *Truth* (pp. 1-40); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 6.
- C** Ellen Finnigan. *Violence and the Gospel*; on lewrockwell.com; 24 JAN 2012.
- D** Ned Wood. *The Port Arthur Massacre Conspiracy*; on members.iinet.com.au; 2 SEP 2012.
- E** Keith Allan Noble. in *The Incident* (pp. 133-198); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 198.
- F** Keith Allan Noble. in *Truth* (pp. 1-40); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 7.
- G** Keith Allan Noble. in *Justice* (pp. 583-644); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 640.

(cont.)

extra: "A foul smell hangs over the Port Arthur massacre. Was an innocent man sacrificed as a patsy? Is this an unsolved mass murder? It follows that if the *lone-nut* theory of Bryant's sole guilt is incorrect, the massacre and subsequent cover-up were carried out by people with extremely good connections. Does no-one in the Australian mass media have the guts to raise concerns openly about Port Arthur? Will no-one call for the long-overdue inquest and/or public inquiry into the atrocity?" (original italics) SydWalker.info; 14 APR 2009. News Corporation Australia publishes despicable words and images inciting hatred and the killing of Martin Bryant and Australian Press Council mongrels have approved this. (see WEISBROT)

12 IAN MATTERSON

- A** Stewart K. Beattie. A GUNSMITH'S NOTEBOOK ON PORT ARTHUR; 2006: p. 40.
- B** Andrew S. MacGregor. DECEIT AND TERRORISM: Port Arthur Massacre; 2001-4: part 2.
- C** Stephen Howard. in *Was Martin Bryant Framed?*; aractus.com; 11 OCT 2010.

extra: "The Coroner has refused to hold an inquest on the basis that the primary facts of the various deaths are already known. In this stance, the Coroner has ignored section 28. 1(f) of the Coroners Act of 1995. The Attorney General Ray Groom [TAS-LIB] has supported the Coroner, citing the Coroners Act of 1957, which was repealed in 1995, when he was the premier of Tasmania. We can now begin to understand the necessity for Martin Bryant to plead guilty, and all the various moves made to ensure this occurred has been documented. By these moves, **all Australians have been denied justice, along with any knowledge of the events that transpired in what is now called the Port Arthur Massacre.**" (added emphasis) Andrew S. MacGregor. DECEIT AND TERRORISM: Port Arthur Massacre; 2001-4: part 1.

13 JUSTIN NOBLE (Keith Allan Noble is not related to this mongrel.)

- A** Andrew S. MacGregor. *Port Arthur Massacre* (speech); loveforlife.com.au/content/08/01/06/port-arthur-massacre-speech-mr-andrew-macgregor; 1 JUN 2008.
- B** Keith Allan Noble. in *The Witnesses* (pp. 377-498); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia: p. 475.
- C** Carl Wernerhoff. *THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE: Was Martin Bryant Framed?*; loveforlife.com.au; MAY 2006.

extra: "He makes an effort to tell us that he 'contacted Police Radio in Hobart via triple 0.' Noble claims to have run around all over the site warning people to flee, making himself look like the hero that he wanted to be. Then he saw someone (no name given) outside some PAHS cottage and he asked to use a telephone inside. It was no problem for Noble to get through to Police Radio in Hobart – 000, just like that. Phone lines must have been melting from all the emergency calls, but Noble got through to Hobart – immediately! (Were they waiting for his call?) Then he was out of there to direct traffic and save even more lives. Then, somehow, Noble does not say how of course this happened: 'I was contacted by Police Radio Hobart.' The literature says Noble actually had a two-way radio with him, but he wants us to believe he was just having a happy picnic with his good wife Kathryn before he sprang into action and started herding and hollering and telling people he was a member of the New South Wales Police Service...." Keith Allan Noble. in *The Witnesses* (pp. 377-498); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 457.

1996-2016
20 YEARS CORRUPTION, DECEPTION, LIES
OFFICIAL MASS MURDER, PORT ARTHUR, TASMANIA

14 HANS OVERBEEKE

- A** Keith Allan Noble. in *The Witnesses* (pp. 377-498); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 458.
- B** Editor. *3 Men at the Broad Arrow Café*; shootersnews.addr.com
- C** Keith Allan Noble. in *The Patsy* (pp. 199-294); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 285, n. 166.
- D** Keith Allan Noble. in *Justice* (pp. 583-644); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 641.

extra: Only a few details about Hans Overbeeke (and sons Benjamin & Warren) are mentioned on the internet. No written statements seem to have been taken from him or his sons, even though Hans Overbeeke was witnessed handling/ratting dead bodies (of spooks?) inside the Broad Arrow Café. (see witness statement of Jennifer Moors) It seems he was videoed wearing white abattoir/slaughterhouse boots whilst on the café verandah after the killing there.

15 STEPHEN PARRY

- A** Keith Allan Noble. extract of *International Media Release*; Stephen Shane Parry – Australian Senate President Served Show Cause Notice; 26 FEB 2016.
- B** Cairns News. *Senator's report reveals prior knowledge of Port Arthur incident*; cairnsnews.org; 6 MAR 2016.

extra: Stephen Parry worked at Burnie in 1996. (Burnie-Somerset 1996 census pop. 19,134) He was the only embalmer from Tasmania and he was the leader of a team of six embalmers* who handled the bodies of the Port Arthur massacre. It is alleged 25 bodies were embalmed. Of course Parry was not then the only embalmer in Tasmania. That five mainland embalmers were flown to Hobart from Victoria and New South Wales, when local embalmers in Tasmania, especially in Hobart (1996 census pop. 126,118) and Launceston (1996 census pop. 67,701), were available is highly suggestive. (* **Paul Doney** – Hickey & Co, Petersham NSW; **Peter Irvine** – Le Pine Funeral Services, Kew East VIC; **Stephen Parry** – Vincent Funeral Services, Burnie TAS; **Lindsay Radcliffe** – Nelson Brothers Funeral Services, Melbourne VIC; **Kevin Reardon** – John Allison/Monkhouse, Mulgrave VIC; **Robert Ridi** – Tobin Brothers, North Melbourne VIC. Readers knowing anything suspect about these six embalmers and/or their stated 1996 employers are asked to contact in confidence Keith Allan Noble: martinbryantisinnocent@gmail.com)

16 THE POLICE

- A** Wendy Scurr. in Ned Wood. *The Port Arthur Massacre Conspiracy*; <http://members.iinet.net.au/~nedwood/portarthur.html>; 29 NOV 1999.
- B** Stewart K. Beattie. email (13 NOV 2012) in *The Incident*; (pp. 133-198); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 135.
- C** Introduction to video; *Port Arthur, Tasmania, Australia*; April 28, 1996; 1:30 PM; youtube.com/watch?v=iuoBltG5FMU
- D** Andrew S. MacGregor. in *The Witnesses* (pp. 377-498); MASS MURDER. Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 380.
- E** Carl Wernerhoff. *The Port Arthur Massacre – Was Martin Bryant Framed?* nexusmagazine.com; 2006: JUN-JUL: pp. 14, 15.

(cont.)

extra: In his book THE CONVICTION OF THE INNOCENT: How the Law Can Let Us Down; 2007: p. 19, the Australian barrister Chester Porter states: "If a person has an IQ of seventy, it is not very difficult for experienced detectives to persuade him or her to **confess to almost anything.**" (added emphasis) Note Martin Bryant had an even lower IQ of 66 which put him in the bottom two percent of the Australian population. In her book MY STORY (2010: p. 134), Carleen Bryant describes limitations of her mentally-handicapped son: "He struggled with simple things such as how to remove a wheel from a bicycle, how to construct something from a Meccano set or build a simple airplane such as young boys enjoy making." And Petra Willmott has confirmed Martin's mental deficiency in one of her witness statements (28 APR 1996): "He doesn't remember a lot of things that I say to him and he forgets what he's doing sometimes."

That Tasmania Police interviewed Martin – at times shackled to a chair – without a competent and committed defence lawyer being present breaks the rules related to police interviewing. The interviews of Martin Bryant would never be accepted in a proper trial – so the State made sure there was NO trial. But videos of these interviews – **which have never been made available in full to the public** – were provided by the cops to unethical Channel 7 which used them in a corrupt and inaccurate program (Sunday Night; 6 MAR 2016). This television program criminally incited the public to hate and kill innocent Martin Bryant. (see WILLESEE)

17 THE PSYCHIATRISTS

- A** Keith Allan Noble. in *State Corruption* (pp. 499-582); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 510.
- B** Andrew S. MacGregor. *Professor Paul Mullen*; DECEIT AND TERRORISM: Port Arthur; 2002: p.226.
- C** Carl Wernerhoff. *THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE: Was Martin Bryant Framed?*; loveforlife.com.au: MAY 2006.
- D** Andrew S. MacGregor. *The Enigmatic Dr Ian Sale*; DECEIT AND TERRORISM: Port Arthur; 2002: p. 940.
- E** Keith Allan Noble. in *Justice* (pp. 583-644); MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia; Big Worm Books; 2014: p. 633.

extra: "With over 20 years as a police officer in Queensland i can categorically say this is the most disgusting & disgraceful travesty of justice i have ever encountered in my entire life. **This is blatantly a false flag operation which was purely & simply to disarm the Australian public.** The whole timeline from the Tavistock Institute psychiatrists involvement to the manner in which Martin was treated by the legal system is unbelievable. My heart goes out to poor Martin who has just been used as a **patsy!!**" (sic; added emphasis; The Cadstar. *Port Arthur Massacre BA Café Shooter? Martin Bryant Innocent*; youtube.com; 28 NOV 2012.)

Never be intimidated by the fact someone is said to be an expert. These people can and do make mistakes. They can also lie. Because a person is knowledgeable about some subject does not necessarily mean the person has high ethical and moral standards. Experts are bought in various ways (payment, prestige, promotion) to give the evidence that a State needs to secure a conviction regardless of innocence. Some experts are snakes never to be trusted.

18 RICK SARRE

A Keith Allan Noble. in *Back Matter* (pp. 757-788); **THE WORST OF BRITISH JUSTICE: Readings Related to Injustice in Australia**; Big Worm Books; 2015: pp. 771, 773.

extra: "How could this professor make such ridiculous, uncorroborated by any evidence, remarks? Remarks that Sarre dismisses a court of law, a trial, reasonable doubt, lack of evidence, misidentification by witnesses, mental incompetence, etc.... The fact is, hardly a week goes by when there isn't some shocking unsubstantiated piece (predominantly in mainstream media) in which **unproved claims are rehashed and the innocent untried Martin Bryant is blamed and bashed yet again**. And if a so-called professor – purportedly with decades of experience teaching law – doesn't see anything wrong with this, the Australians are doomed to injustice." (original emphasis & italics) in *Back Matter; THE WORST OF BRITISH JUSTICE. Readings Related to Injustice in Australia*; Big Worm Books; 2015: 773, 774.

19 DAVID WEISBROT

A Keith Allan Noble. email (murder.research@gmail.com) to David Weisbrot; 27 OCT 2015.

20 MIKE WILLESEE

A Con Dassos. comment about Mike Willesee on *Martin Bryant's 'Artwork'*; gumshoenews.com; 12 MAR 2016.
B Phil. comment about Mike Willesee on *Martin Bryant's 'Artwork'*; gumshoenews.com; 11 MAR 2016.
C name withheld. email (6 MAR 2016) to Keith Allan Noble re *Inside the Mind of Martin Bryant*; Channel 7 (Sunday Night); 6 MAR 2016. ■

INACCURATE WORDS

COMMUNICATION related to the official Port Arthur incident has not always been done with accurate wording. Given there is medical evidence that a shotgun was used (inside the Broad Arrow Café) and that rifled firearms were used during the incident, it is not accurate to use the word gunman for every situation in which a firearm was discharged. The generic word shooter is better. And given there is considerable evidence there was more than one alleged shooter at Seaside Cottage, the plural shooters should be used. So too should the correct article (*a* shooter, *the* shooters) as is appropriate. But this did not suit officials. They wanted to focus attention on only one type of firearm (semi-automatic assault weapon) and on one individual, the patsy Martin Bryant. A shotgun was alleged to have been found in the boot of *a* (not *the* as there was more than one) yellow Volvo. The gun was alleged to belong to Martin Bryant. But no ownership was ever proved by document, statement, or fingerprints. Staggeringly, there was no fingerprint evidence in the entire case. If Martin Bryant is described as a shooter at Seaside, then there is proof (analysis of recorded telephone conversation) that another shooter (*Mick/Rick?*) was discharging a firearm the same time as Martin was speaking his "script" to the negotiator. ■



RESOURCES

AUDIO

- A** Keith Allan Noble. *Why Martin Bryant Could Not Have Carried Out the 1996 Port Arthur Massacre*; Richie Allen Show; alternatetcurrentradio.com, davidicke.com, richieallen.podomatic.com, youtube.com; 3 MAR 2016.
- B** Keith Allan Noble. *Martin Bryant Is Innocent!*; Fairdinkum Radio, fairdinkumradio.com/resources/13.3.21%20Fairdinkum%20Radio%20Show%20H3.mp3.com; 21 MAR 2013.

AUDIO-VISUAL

- A** Cherri Bonney. *Wish I Knew How To Be Free* (dedicated to Martin Bryant); soundcloud.com, youtube.com; 2016.
- B** Greeley. *Question of Guilt*; on Apple Isle Child; iTunes, youtube.com; 2014.
- C** *Port Arthur Anniversary Uncovered*; cairnsnews.org; APR 2016.
- D** *A Question of Guilt – The Massacre at Port Arthur*; youtube.com/watch?v=b1JQ1uvYuMY; JUL 2014.

PETITION

- A** Cherri Bonney. *Martin Bryant Deserves a Coronial Inquest and For All Our Sakes*; change.org; 2016. (please sign)

PRINT & WEBSITES

- A** Stewart K. Beattie. *A GUNSMITH'S NOTEBOOK ON PORT ARTHUR*; DVD book; 2006.
- B** Carleen Bryant. *MY STORY*; Ludeke Publishing; ludekepublishing.com.au; 2010.
- C** Andrew S. Macgregor. *DECEIT AND TERRORISM: Port Arthur*; DVD book; 2001-4.
- D** Mary Maxwell & Dee McLachlan. *PORT ARTHUR: Enough is Enough*; 2016. free download: daliamaelachlan.files.wordpress.com/2016/03/Enough-is-enough_29mar_low-res.pdf
- E** Robert N. Moles. *A STATE OF INJUSTICE*; Lothian Books; 2004.
- F** Keith Allan Noble. *Deception & Lies Presented to Australians*; 2 pp. summary of predicted facts not presented on 6 MAR 2016 to the people of Australia by Channel 7 (Sunday Night); 2 MAR 2016. free pdf: martinbryantisinnocent@gmail.com
- G** Keith Allan Noble. *Show Cause Notice* (served on senator Stephen Parry [TAS-LIB]); 24 FEB 2016. free pdf: martinbryantisinnocent@gmail.com
- H** Keith Allan Noble. *LEAKED DOCUMENTS: Statements of Port Arthur Massacre Witnesses leaked from DPP Office, Hobart, Tasmania*; 112 pp; 2015; free pdf: murder.research@gmail.com
- I** Keith Allan Noble. *Submission Document to Australian Press Council* (relates to News Corp Australia articles inciting hatred & killing); 21 pp; 22 SEP 2015. free pdf: murder.research@gmail.com
- J** Keith Allan Noble. *THE WORST OF BRITISH JUSTICE: Readings Related to Injustice in Australia*; 2015 (2nd edition; 796 pp; 100 Authors, 80 Inserts, 66 Readings) free pdf: BIGWORMBOOKS@gmx.net, paperback: bookfinder.com
- K** Keith Allan Noble. *MASS MURDER: Official Killing in Tasmania, Australia*; 2014 (2nd edition; 720 pp.); free pdf: BIGWORMBOOKS@gmx.net, paperback: bookfinder.com
- L** Chester Porter. *THE CONVICTION OF THE INNOCENT*; Random House Australia; 2007.
- M** Evan Whitton. *OUR CORRUPT LEGAL SYSTEM*; Book Pal; 2010.
- N** members.iinet.net.au/~nedwood/index.html & members.iinet.net.au/~nedwood/Pam06.html ■



EPILOGUE

FOR 20 YEARS officials and so-called experts have wanted to deceive you. The 20 mongrels listed in this document are not the entire number. But they are some of the more prominent people and parties involved with this intended deception over the last two decades. It is a conga-line of corruption which began before the official killing at Port Arthur in April 1996, and which to this day continues aided by the unethical mainstream media. Demonization, hate, and nonsense have been broadcast (*ad nauseam* of late) while the truth and thus justice have been denied to all Australians – especially the many suffering families, relatives, and friends of all the victims.

Those who have been deceived, blindly accept a mentally-handicapped person – a retarded *boy-man* who had an IQ of 66 (what must it be now?) – was solely responsible for the entire seven-scene incident at and near Port Arthur in Tasmania. An incident that left 35 people killed and 23 wounded. Solely responsible for every element of the massacre. Though there is no hard evidence proving anything significant, those people who fail to think believe this. Though there was NO trial, NO coronial inquest, NO public enquiry, NO royal commission, etc., there are many naive people who are convinced officials would not lie to them – and yes, pigs can fly.

But those who have not been deceived see through the official killing at Port Arthur. They see how the *boy-man* was coerced (over six months of isolation, intimidation, and emotional torture) to get him to accept the guilty plea. Truth and justice were done over in Hobart in 1996. And this blatant corruption continues to this day. Accusations and unproved assumptions are what John Avery, Damian Bugg, and William Cox used to achieve the cover-up needed by the State. Their illegal, immoral, and inhumane actions and allegations will inevitably result in the death of innocent Martin Bryant – be it the outcome of wrongful incarceration, or at the hands of someone incited to kill him. Avery, Bugg, and Cox will be responsible for the murder of Martin Bryant.

If you believe in the power of prayer, please pray for innocent Martin. He has endured 20 years of wrongful imprisonment – 20 years of living hell for him and his family – for heinous crimes perpetrated by the State. It seems Martin was recently moved from a more protected part of the Risdon Prison complex near Hobart into the main prison population. If true, it can only have been done for one reason – to expedite him being killed there. Many despicable articles and images have been and continue to be published by News Corporation Australia. (Mainstream media loves it. Regulators ignore it.) These articles incite hatred and the killing of Martin. And if the Tasmanian government has put him in the most dangerous place inside Risdon Prison, then it is inevitable that some hate-filled person – either inmate or employee – will knock him.

On every 28th of April, light a candle for Martin Bryant. Put it in your window if you cannot participate in a public vigil. Your light, your standing up for justice, will tell the mongrels their corruption, deception, and lies have not covered up the truth – **the truth which shall prevail.** ■

*You know I spoke the truth way back then,
again, again, and again and again,
why'm I in prison, what's my crime?
I know I'm trapped, they say that I am to blame,
hey, what can I do?
Government took me and they locked me away,
hey, what can I do? hey, what did I do?*

Cherri Bonney. Wish I Knew How To Be Free
dedicated to Martin Bryant; youtube.com; 2016

– MARTIN BRYANT –

**IT WILL BE MURDER as State officials
want Martin Bryant to die in prison –
denied an ethical lawyer, lied to,
intimidated in isolation over 6 months.**

**Working together, three mongrels
John Avery, Damian Bugg, William Cox
illegally refused his not guilty plea
to cause the cruel death
of innocent Martin Bryant.**

**A coerced confession,
the complete lack of a trial,
and his cold corpse will be the proof.**

– OFFICIAL MURDER –